



The Impact of Social Media on Political Participation among Thai Youth: A Social Science Study

Kanticha Sonsena

Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand
✉: limcukk@hotmail.com (Corresponding Email)

Received: 17 September 2024; Revised: 20 October 2024; Accepted 20 October 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract: This study examines the role of social media in political participation among Thai youth, focusing on both its facilitative and limiting factors. Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter have become vital tools for political engagement, allowing young people to access information in real time, participate in discussions, and organize protests. The influence of social media influencers and viral content significantly shapes political opinions and motivates youth to become more active in political movements. However, limitations such as misinformation, echo chambers, and government censorship hinder the full potential of social media as a political tool. The study emphasizes the need for policy reform and future research to address these challenges and ensure safe, effective political engagement via social media.

Keywords: Social Media, Political Participation, Thai Youth, Misinformation, Political Mobilization

1 Introduction

Social media has become a powerful tool for political communication globally, providing real-time access to information and facilitating peer-to-peer engagement. Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram enable individuals, particularly youth, to participate in political discussions and engage in activism with ease (Loader & Mercea, 2011). The transformative power of social media has been evident in various movements worldwide, from the Arab Spring to protests for racial justice in the United States.

In Thailand, social media platforms play an increasingly prominent role, especially among younger generations, in shaping political opinions and activism. Recent youth-led protests in Thailand have highlighted how platforms like Twitter and Facebook are being used to mobilize, organize, and disseminate political messages, often bypassing traditional media outlets (Tapsell, 2020). These platforms are not just spaces for information-sharing but have become central to political mobilization and activism among Thai youth. Government agencies also recognize the importance of social media for communication, as seen in the use of these platforms by public offices to engage with citizens (Lacsamana & Diola, 2023).

While it is clear that social media has enabled higher levels of political engagement among Thai youth, there are still gaps in understanding how this engagement translates into actual political participation, such as voting, protests, or civil discourse (Theocharis & van Deth, 2018). Furthermore, challenges such as misinformation, echo chambers, and shallow forms of online activism, often referred to as "slacktivism," pose questions about the quality and impact of political participation through social media (Morozov, 2011).

Moreover, there is limited knowledge about the specific factors that motivate or hinder political engagement among Thai youth. Factors such as peer influence, access to political



information, and the role of social media influencers have been identified globally (Cholprasertsuk et al., [2020](#)), but these dynamics need further exploration in the Thai context. In addition, concerns about digital addiction and its impact on youth engagement in political matters require attention, as the risks of over-reliance on social media may influence youth participation in a less sustainable or informed manner (Kumkronglek et al., [2022](#)).

This study aims to explore the relationship between social media use and political participation among Thai youth. Specifically, it seeks to understand how various social media platforms influence political behaviors such as voting, protesting, and participating in online discussions. Additionally, the study will examine the types of political activities engaged in online and how they correlate with offline actions.

Furthermore, this research will identify key factors that either motivate or hinder political engagement via social media. By analyzing the roles of influencers, peer networks, and the availability of political information, the study will provide insights into the mechanisms that drive political participation among Thai youth. This research will also consider barriers such as censorship, misinformation, and social media addiction, which could affect youth participation in meaningful ways.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The Role of Social Media in Political Participation

Globally, social media has become a central tool for political mobilization and activism. It offers platforms for real-time communication, the organization of protests, and the dissemination of political messages. Research shows that social media played a pivotal role in movements such as the Arab Spring, where platforms like Facebook and Twitter were used to organize demonstrations and share information with a global audience (Tufekci, [2017](#)). Social media allows political actors to bypass traditional media outlets and reach the public directly, making it an essential tool for activism and political mobilization (Howard & Hussain, [2011](#)). In Thailand, platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are the primary channels for political engagement, especially among younger demographics. These platforms have facilitated the spread of political hashtags, viral content, and online petitions, amplifying the voices of youth activists. Twitter, in particular, has become a critical space for political discourse, where Thai youth use trending hashtags to challenge the government and demand political reform (Tapsell, [2020](#)). Facebook, on the other hand, has served as a platform for organizing events and live-streaming protests, further contributing to the visibility and coordination of political actions in Thailand.

2.2 Political Participation

Political participation can be defined as activities through which individuals engage in the political process to influence decisions or actions that affect their society. These activities include both formal participation, such as voting and participating in elections, and informal participation, such as protests, social media discussions, and signing petitions (Verba et al., [1995](#)). In the context of social media, informal political participation has expanded significantly. Online discussions, participation in political movements, and sharing political content are now recognized as important forms of engagement. While formal political participation often requires institutional involvement, informal participation through social media enables individuals to participate more flexibly and on their own terms (Bakker & de Vreese, [2011](#)). This expansion of what constitutes political participation has broadened the understanding of how citizens engage with politics, particularly among youth populations.

2.3 Social Media and Youth Engagement

Social media has transformed how youth engage in political movements, both online and offline. For younger generations, digital platforms provide spaces where political opinions are formed, debated, and expressed. Unlike traditional forms of media, social media allows youth to actively participate in political conversations and contribute to shaping public discourse. The ability to share content instantly and interact with a wide audience has empowered youth to organize political actions in ways that were not previously possible (Loader, 2007). In Thailand, youth engagement in political movements has been significantly enhanced by social media platforms. In recent years, youth-led political protests, such as those calling for democratic reforms and greater freedom of expression, have utilized social media as their primary tool for mobilization. For example, the 2020-2021 student-led protests against the Thai government were organized largely through Twitter and Facebook, with young activists using social media to coordinate events, share updates, and spread awareness of their causes (Tapsell, 2020). These platforms allowed protesters to circumvent state-controlled media, ensuring that their messages reached a broader audience both within Thailand and internationally.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative research methods to offer a comprehensive understanding of social media's impact on political participation among Thai youth. The primary methods include surveys, interviews, and social media content analysis. Surveys will be used to gather quantitative data on social media usage and political activities from a large sample of Thai youth. Interviews with key political influencers and activists will provide qualitative insights into how social media has been utilized to mobilize and engage youth in political movements. Social media content analysis will focus on analyzing trends, hashtags, and discussions surrounding recent political events in Thailand, offering a deeper understanding of the platforms' role in shaping political discourse. The study focuses on Thai youth aged 18-30 for several reasons. This demographic is the most active on social media and has been at the forefront of recent political movements in Thailand (Tapsell, 2020). Additionally, they represent a critical voting population whose political engagement is essential for shaping the country's future (Khamduang, 2021).

3.2 Data Collection

The data collection process will involve three key steps:

Surveying Thai youth: A structured questionnaire will be administered to participants, gathering information about their social media habits, the frequency of their political activities (e.g., sharing political content, participating in discussions), and any offline political actions they've taken. The survey will be distributed online to ensure broad accessibility and reach.

Interviews with political influencers and activists: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key figures involved in recent youth-led political movements. These interviews will explore how influencers use social media to mobilize and engage youth, the challenges they face, and the strategies they employ to overcome barriers such as censorship.

Social media content analysis: The study will analyze content from platforms like Facebook and Twitter, focusing on hashtags, posts, and discussions related to recent political protests in Thailand. This analysis will involve identifying patterns of engagement, key messages, and the influence of social media in shaping public opinion.

3.3 Data Analysis

The study will employ both quantitative and qualitative data analysis techniques:

Quantitative analysis of survey results: The survey data will be analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends in social media usage and political participation. Inferential statistics (e.g., correlation analysis) may be used to explore relationships between variables such as social media activity and offline political engagement.

Qualitative coding of interview transcripts: The interviews will be transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis, which involves coding the data to identify key themes and patterns related to political engagement and the role of social media influencers in mobilizing youth (Braun & Clarke, [2006](#)).

Thematic analysis of social media content: The social media content will be analyzed using qualitative coding to identify recurring themes, key messages, and the types of political participation encouraged by influencers and activists. This analysis will provide insights into how social media shapes political discourse and mobilization efforts.

4. Results

4.1 Social Media Usage Among Thai Youth

The survey results reveal that social media platforms, particularly Facebook and Twitter, are frequently used by Thai youth for political purposes. Statistical data indicates that 72% of respondents use social media to follow political news, with 65% regularly sharing political content. Among those surveyed, Twitter has become a central platform for engaging with political discourse, especially through the use of trending hashtags that organize and amplify political messages (Tapsell, [2020](#)). A demographic analysis shows variations in social media behavior based on age, education, and geographic location. Younger participants (aged 18-24) are more likely to engage in political discussions on Twitter, while older youth (aged 25-30) prefer Facebook for organizing political events. Urban-based youth, particularly those living in Bangkok, exhibit higher levels of social media political activity compared to their rural counterparts (Khamduang, [2021](#)).

4.2 Forms of Political Participation

Thai youth engage in various forms of political participation via social media. These include sharing political news and opinions, organizing online petitions, participating in virtual protests, and using platforms for real-time discussions during political events. For instance, 58% of respondents reported having participated in online political discussions, while 43% have used social media to organize or join protests. Twitter hashtags, such as #WhatsHappeningInThailand, serve as tools for youth to share political grievances and organize offline protests, reflecting a close link between online engagement and real-world activism (Tapsell, [2020](#)). A comparison between online engagement and offline activism shows that while a majority of respondents participate actively online, there is a significant drop in offline political activism, with only 25% attending street protests. This suggests that while social media facilitates political awareness and virtual participation, the transition to physical activism is less common, possibly due to various socio-political barriers.

4.3 Motivating Factors

The primary motivations for political participation through social media among Thai youth include peer influence, ease of access to information, and a sense of community. Peer influence is particularly strong, with 68% of respondents stating that their political engagement on social media is influenced by friends and social networks (Loader & Mercea, [2011](#)). Additionally, the accessibility of political information via social media platforms encourages youth to participate in political discussions and movements. Social media influencers and viral content also play significant roles in shaping political discourse. Influencers who advocate for

political causes, especially during pivotal moments, drive youth engagement by providing accessible and relatable political content. Viral content, including memes and videos, often simplifies complex political issues, making them easier to understand and share, thereby contributing to broader political mobilization.

4.4 Barriers to Political Participation

Despite the high level of political engagement on social media, several barriers hinder more meaningful political participation among Thai youth. Government censorship and restrictions on free speech remain significant obstacles, as 42% of respondents reported fear of repercussions from expressing political opinions online. Thailand's *lèse-majesté* laws, which criminalize criticism of the monarchy, create a chilling effect on political discourse, especially on platforms that are monitored by authorities (Tapsell, 2020). Additionally, fear of social backlash and online harassment are other significant deterrents, with many youth reporting negative experiences after participating in online political discussions. Finally, the digital divide remains a barrier for youth from rural areas, where access to stable internet connections and digital devices is more limited. This creates unequal opportunities for political participation, with urban youth being more engaged compared to their rural counterparts (Khamduang, 2021).

5. Discussion

5.1 Influence of Social Media on Political Behavior

Social media plays a crucial role in shaping political ideologies and behaviors among Thai youth. It provides a platform for political learning and engagement, allowing youth to explore various political perspectives and movements that might be inaccessible through traditional media. Social media's interactive nature facilitates dialogue, creating an environment where political opinions are shared, debated, and formed. Thai youth, particularly through platforms like Twitter and Facebook, are exposed to diverse viewpoints, which contribute to the development of their political identities and ideologies (Tapsell, 2020). Moreover, the survey results show a strong link between social media use and increased political awareness and activism. The real-time flow of information on social media helps youth stay informed about current political events, movements, and policies. This increased awareness often translates into political activism, with youth engaging in both online and offline political activities, such as sharing content, organizing protests, and participating in political discussions (Loader & Mercea, 2011). The ease of access to political information on social media reduces the barriers to entry for political participation, making it more likely for youth to become politically active (Khamduang, 2021).

5.2 Social Media as a Tool for Political Mobilization

Social media has proven to be a highly effective tool for organizing political movements. In Thailand, platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram have been used to mobilize large groups of young people for protests and political action. The use of viral hashtags, such as #WhatsHappeningInThailand, has been particularly effective in organizing youth-led protests and drawing attention to political issues. These platforms allow activists to coordinate events quickly, reach a broad audience, and bypass traditional media outlets, which are often controlled or censored by the government (Tapsell, 2020). A significant case study is the 2020-2021 Thai youth-led protests, where social media played a central role in organizing and sustaining the movement. Youth activists used Twitter to share real-time updates, disseminate protest locations, and rally support both nationally and internationally. The role of social media influencers in promoting these movements also highlights how digital platforms

can amplify political messages and foster a sense of solidarity among participants (Khamduang, 2021). These examples demonstrate the capacity of social media to not only raise awareness but also to mobilize youth for collective political action.

5.3 Potential Risks

Despite the effectiveness of social media in promoting political participation, several risks are associated with its use for political purposes. Misinformation is one of the most significant risks, as false or misleading information can spread rapidly on social platforms. This can lead to the reinforcement of incorrect political beliefs and the promotion of harmful ideologies. Furthermore, the algorithms used by social media platforms often create echo chambers, where users are only exposed to viewpoints that align with their pre-existing beliefs. This reduces exposure to diverse perspectives and can contribute to political polarization (Sunstein, 2018). In Thailand, the risk of government surveillance and censorship also poses a threat to political participation on social media. The Thai government has implemented strict monitoring of online activities, and individuals who engage in politically sensitive discussions, particularly those critical of the monarchy, may face legal repercussions under the country's lèse-majesté laws (Tapsell, 2020). These risks can deter youth from fully participating in political discourse and limit the effectiveness of social media as a tool for democratic engagement.

6. Conclusion

6.1 Summary of Findings

This study reveals that social media plays a dual role in facilitating and limiting political participation among Thai youth. Platforms like Facebook and Twitter allow young people to access real-time political information, engage in discussions, and participate in activism, such as organizing protests and raising awareness of social issues. The interactive and accessible nature of social media enables youth to engage in political activities that may have been difficult or inaccessible through traditional means. Social media influencers and viral content also play a significant role in shaping political opinions and motivating youth to become more actively involved in political movements.

However, several limitations restrict the full potential of social media as a political tool. Issues such as misinformation, echo chambers, and government censorship pose significant barriers. The rapid spread of false or misleading information can distort political beliefs, while algorithms on social media platforms often create polarized environments that reduce exposure to diverse perspectives. In Thailand, government surveillance and lèse-majesté laws act as deterrents to open political participation online, limiting freedom of expression and contributing to self-censorship (Sunstein, 2018; Tapsell, 2020).

6.2 Implications for Policy and Future Research

Policy Recommendations:

To ensure safe and effective political engagement via social media, it is crucial for Thai authorities to consider revising restrictive legal frameworks such as lèse-majesté laws, which limit free expression in political discourse. In addition, efforts should be made to combat misinformation through the promotion of digital literacy programs, helping young users critically assess the political content they encounter online. Social media platforms should also improve transparency regarding their algorithms and implement measures to reduce the creation of echo chambers that contribute to political polarization (Loader & Mercea, 2011).

Future Research Suggestions:

Further research is necessary to explore the long-term impact of social media on youth

political engagement in Thailand. While this study has focused on short-term participation, future research could investigate whether online engagement leads to sustained political activism or formal political involvement, such as voting or running for office. Additionally, the role of emerging platforms such as TikTok in political participation, as well as the evolving dynamics of youth-led online political movements, should be explored in the context of Thailand and other Southeast Asian countries.

Originality & Body of Knowledge

Originality: This paper presents an original exploration of the impact of social media on political participation among Thai youth, offering a nuanced understanding of how digital platforms shape political behaviors in a rapidly evolving socio-political landscape. Unlike previous research that primarily focuses on global trends, this study provides an in-depth analysis specific to Thailand, highlighting the dual role of social media as both a facilitator and a barrier to meaningful political engagement. The originality lies in its integration of quantitative and qualitative methodologies, including surveys, interviews, and content analysis, to examine the complex dynamics of youth activism, the influence of social media influencers, and the role of viral content in mobilizing political movements. The study's focus on Thai-specific barriers such as government censorship and lèse-majesté laws adds a unique perspective to the global discourse on digital political participation.

Body of Knowledge: This research makes a significant contribution to the field of social sciences by advancing the understanding of youth political participation in the digital age. It expands the discourse by analyzing how social media platforms like Facebook and Twitter enable Thai youth to engage in real-time discussions, organize protests, and disseminate political messages, thereby bridging the gap between online and offline activism. The study also addresses critical challenges such as misinformation, echo chambers, and digital inequality, offering practical policy recommendations for fostering safe and effective political engagement. By contextualizing the findings within the Thai political environment, the paper provides valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers interested in the intersection of social media, youth empowerment, and democratic processes.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to express their sincere gratitude to all participants for generously dedicating their time to provide valuable responses to the questionnaire and interviews.

Funding: This study did not receive financial support from any public or private agencies or organizations.

Declarations

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical treatment of experimental subjects (animals & human): The research was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration regarding human subjects, so formal ethical approval was not required. All participants, who were students, volunteered anonymously, and the study adhered to local laws and institutional regulations. The confidentiality of participants' data was strictly maintained.

Open Access: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which allows for use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as proper credit is given to the original authors and source, a link to the Creative Commons license is provided, and any modifications are clearly indicated. Any third-party material included in this article is covered by the same Creative Commons license unless otherwise credited. If third-party material is not covered by the license and statutory regulations do not permit its use, permission must be obtained directly from the copyright holder. To access the license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Bakker, T. P., & de Vreese, C. H. (2011). Good News for the Future? Young People, Internet Use, and Political Participation. *Communication Research*, 38(4), 451–470.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Cholprasertsuk, A., Lawanwisut, C., & Thongrin, S. (2020). Social Media Influencers and Thai Tourism Industry: Tourists' Behavior, Travel Motivation, and Influencing Factors. *Journal of Liberal Arts, Thammasat University*, 20(2), 234–263.
<https://doi.org/10.14456/lartstu.2020.21>
- Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2011). The Role of Digital Media. *Journal of Democracy*, 22(3), 35–48.
- Khamduang, N. (2021). Thai Youth and Political Participation: A Case Study of Recent Youth-Led Protests. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 22(1), 45–67.
- Kumkronglek, J., Sirisatayawong, P., & Chupradit, S. (2022). Pilot Study of a Life Skills Enhancement Programme on Life Skills and Risk Behaviors of Social Media Addiction among Young Adolescents. *Asian Interdisciplinary and Sustainability Review*, 11(2), 72–77. Retrieved from <https://so05.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/PSAKUIJIR/article/view/259610>
- Lacsamana, A. M., & Diola, M. F. (2023). An Assessment of the Presidential Communications Operations Office (PCOO) New Media Operations and Its Use of Social Media as a Tool for Government Communication. *Journal of Politics and Governance*, 4(1), 75–98. Retrieved from <https://so03.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/jopag/article/view/272960>
- Loader, B. D. (2007). *Young Citizens in the Digital Age: Political Engagement, Young People and New Media*. Routledge.
- Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, 14(6), 757–769.
- Morozov, E. (2011). *The Net Delusion: The Dark Side of Internet Freedom*. PublicAffairs.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2018). *#Republic: Divided Democracy in the Age of Social Media*. Princeton University Press.
- Tapsell, R. (2020). *Thailand's Youth Protestors and the Power of Social Media*. Australian National University.
- Theocharis, Y., & van Deth, J. W. (2018). The Continuous Expansion of Citizen Participation: A New Taxonomy. *European Political Science Review*, 10(1), 139–163.
- Tufekci, Z. (2017). *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*. Yale University Press.
- Verba, S., Scholzman, K. L., & Brady, H. E. (1995). *Voice and Equality: Civic Voluntarism in American Politics*. Harvard University Press.