



Thailand 4.0 and Educational Transformation: A Mixed-Methods Analysis of Policy Implementation and Human Capital Development in Northeast Thailand¹

Sanya Sasong^{1*}, Somkhun Namseethan²,

¹Faculty of Education, Chiang Mai Rajabhat University, Thailand

²Mahachulalongkornrajavidyalaya University, Khon Kaen Campus, Thailand

*Corresponding author ✉: sanya.sasong@cmru.ac.th

Abstract:

Background: Thailand's ambitious Thailand 4.0 national development strategy represents a paradigmatic shift toward an innovation-driven knowledge economy, fundamentally challenging traditional educational paradigms and requiring comprehensive human capital development strategies that address regional disparities while preserving cultural identity.

Purpose: This mixed-methods study examines the implementation of Thailand 4.0 educational transformation initiatives across four northeastern provinces (Khon Kaen, Nakhon Ratchasima, Ubon Ratchathani, and Buriram), analyzing critical success factors, persistent barriers, and emerging pathways for sustainable human capital development within Thailand's unique cultural and socioeconomic context.

Methods: Using a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, we collected quantitative data from 456 educational stakeholders across 89 institutions and qualitative data from 48 in-depth interviews with administrators, teachers, and policymakers. Data analysis employed structural equation modeling, thematic analysis, and policy network analysis to examine relationships between transformation dimensions and implementation outcomes.

Results: Three critical transformation patterns emerged: technology-enhanced pedagogical innovation (implemented in 67% of institutions), community-based cultural integration (adopted by 73% of schools), and distributed leadership models (established in 54% of institutions). Institutions implementing comprehensive transformation strategies demonstrated significantly higher performance across student engagement ($\eta^2 = .34$), teacher satisfaction ($\beta = .52$, $p < .001$), and community partnership effectiveness ($r = .68$, $p < .001$). However, persistent challenges include rural-urban digital divide issues (affecting 42% of

¹ Article info: 13 February 2022; Revised: 21 May 2022; Accepted: 30 October 2022





rural institutions), inadequate teacher preparation (reported by 58% of educators), and limited industry-academia collaboration (functioning effectively in only 31% of cases).

Conclusions: Successful Thailand 4.0 implementation in northeastern Thailand requires culturally-responsive integration of digital innovation with traditional Thai educational values, systematic professional development addressing regional capacity constraints, and collaborative governance mechanisms that engage multiple stakeholder groups. The study contributes an empirically-validated framework for educational transformation in developing nation contexts that balances modernization imperatives with cultural preservation priorities.

Keywords: Thailand 4.0, educational transformation, human capital development, northeast Thailand, mixed-methods research, policy implementation, innovation economy

1. INTRODUCTION

Thailand's ambitious Thailand 4.0 national development strategy, launched in 2016, represents the most comprehensive economic and social transformation initiative undertaken since the Kingdom's recovery from the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis. This paradigmatic shift from traditional manufacturing-based economy toward innovation-driven knowledge society fundamentally challenges existing educational frameworks and necessitates revolutionary approaches to human capital development that simultaneously embrace global competitiveness while preserving Thailand's distinctive cultural heritage (Buasawan, 2018; Jones & Pimdee, 2017).

The significance of this transformation extends far beyond economic restructuring to encompass fundamental questions about education's evolving role in preparing Thai citizens for an uncertain future characterized by artificial intelligence, automation, and rapid technological change. Traditional Thai educational approaches emphasizing hierarchical knowledge transmission, rote memorization, and standardized assessment prove increasingly inadequate for developing the creativity, critical thinking, adaptability, and collaborative problem-solving capabilities that Fourth Industrial Revolution contexts demand (Fry & Bi, 2013; Schwab, 2016).

Northeast Thailand (Isan), encompassing 20 provinces and serving approximately 22 million inhabitants, presents a particularly compelling context for examining Thailand 4.0 educational transformation challenges and opportunities. This region, characterized by cultural diversity, economic constraints, geographic isolation, and persistent rural-urban development disparities, simultaneously embodies Thailand's greatest educational equity challenges and its richest reservoir of traditional knowledge systems that could inform innovative approaches to culturally-responsive educational transformation (Hallinger & Kantamara, 2000).

Contemporary research indicates that successful educational transformation in developing nation contexts requires sophisticated integration of technological infrastructure development, pedagogical innovation, stakeholder coordination, and cultural adaptation





strategies that build upon rather than replace existing social capital and knowledge systems (Fullan, 2020; UNESCO, 2021). However, limited empirical research examines how these theoretical frameworks translate into practical implementation strategies within specific cultural and geographic contexts, particularly in regions characterized by significant socioeconomic diversity and traditional knowledge systems.

This study addresses critical gaps in understanding how Thailand 4.0 educational transformation initiatives are being implemented, adapted, and sustained across diverse institutional contexts within northeastern Thailand. By focusing on four provinces representing different developmental trajectories and cultural characteristics, the research provides empirical evidence about factors influencing successful transformation while identifying persistent barriers and emerging best practices that could inform broader regional development efforts.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Thailand 4.0 and National Educational Policy Framework

Thailand 4.0 represents a strategic response to multiple converging challenges including middle-income trap risks, demographic transition pressures, increasing global economic competition, and the recognition that traditional factor-driven growth models must evolve toward innovation-based approaches leveraging human capital and technological capabilities (National Economic and Social Development Council, 2017; Pimdee et al., 2017). The strategy explicitly positions education as the cornerstone of economic transformation, acknowledging that sustainable competitive advantage requires uniquely Thai approaches to innovation rather than simple replication of Western development models.

The Thailand 4.0 educational policy framework establishes four foundational pillars for transformation: digital literacy and technological competency development, creative and critical thinking skill cultivation, entrepreneurial mindset fostering, and cultural identity preservation within global context adaptation (Office of the Education Council, 2018). These pillars reflect sophisticated understanding that Thailand's competitive advantage will derive from creating distinctive approaches that leverage cultural strengths while embracing beneficial global practices rather than abandoning traditional values in pursuit of modernization.

Educational transformation under Thailand 4.0 extends far beyond technology adoption to encompass fundamental reconsideration of pedagogical approaches, assessment methodologies, stakeholder relationships, and institutional governance structures. Digital literacy initiatives mandate integration of computational thinking, data analysis capabilities, artificial intelligence understanding, and digital citizenship responsibilities across all educational levels rather than treating technological skills as separate curriculum components (Aroonsrimarakot et al., 2020; Laorach & Tuamsuk, 2020).

The policy framework also emphasizes entrepreneurial mindset cultivation as essential for innovation economy success, requiring citizens capable of identifying





opportunities, taking calculated risks, and creating value through novel combinations of resources and ideas. This represents significant cultural adaptation within Thai educational contexts that historically emphasized stable employment and respect for established authority structures over uncertain entrepreneurial ventures (Intarakumnerd, 2006).

2.2 Regional Educational Transformation in Southeast Asian Contexts

Southeast Asian educational transformation experiences reveal significant variation in approaches, outcomes, and sustainability factors based on cultural contexts, institutional capacity, economic constraints, and political frameworks (Hallinger et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2020). Research from Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia, and the Philippines demonstrates that successful transformation requires careful attention to cultural factors, language considerations, and economic realities that may not be prominent in Western transformation models.

Thailand's position within Southeast Asia presents unique challenges due to its middle-income economy, Buddhist cultural foundations, linguistic complexity, and the absence of colonial educational legacies that influenced neighboring countries' development trajectories. Unlike Singapore's technologically advanced environment or Malaysia's dual-language educational system, Thailand must balance modernization with cultural preservation while serving populations with vastly different technological capabilities and economic resources (Pimpa, 2009).

Comparative analysis reveals that the most successful Southeast Asian educational transformation initiatives combine technological infrastructure development with pedagogical innovation, stakeholder engagement strategies, and quality assurance systems that ensure accountability while encouraging innovation (Tran & Marginson, 2018). However, sustainability depends critically on developing local capacity for continuous improvement rather than relying on external expertise or resources.

2.3 Educational Leadership and Innovation in Rural Contexts

Educational leadership in rural and economically challenged contexts presents distinctive requirements that differ significantly from urban leadership frameworks commonly emphasized in international literature (Preston & Barnes, 2017). Rural educational leaders must navigate complex relationships with diverse community stakeholders, manage severe resource constraints, maintain cultural authenticity while pursuing modernization, and develop innovative solutions to challenges that urban leaders rarely encounter.

Research on rural educational innovation emphasizes the importance of distributed leadership models that share responsibility across multiple stakeholder groups rather than concentrating authority in individual administrators (Harris & DeFlaminis, 2016). Distributed leadership proves particularly relevant in Thai cultural contexts that value collective decision-making, respect for diverse expertise, and consensus-building approaches to organizational change.





Successful rural educational transformation also requires leveraging community assets and traditional knowledge systems as educational resources rather than viewing them as obstacles to modernization (Henderson & Mapp, 2002). This asset-based approach proves especially important in northeastern Thailand, where communities possess rich traditional knowledge about agriculture, crafts, medicine, and social organization that could enhance rather than compete with contemporary educational objectives.

2.4 Technology Integration and Digital Equity in Educational Contexts

Technology integration in educational settings involves far more than providing devices and internet connectivity; it requires systematic attention to digital literacy development, pedagogical adaptation, technical support systems, and cultural appropriateness of technological solutions (Selwyn, 2016; Voogt et al., 2013). Successful integration depends on teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge, institutional support systems, and alignment between technological capabilities and educational objectives.

Research on digital equity reveals that technology implementation can either exacerbate or alleviate existing educational inequalities depending on how carefully equity concerns are addressed in planning and implementation processes (Reich & Mehta, 2020). Rural and economically disadvantaged communities face particular challenges related to infrastructure limitations, device access, technical support availability, and digital literacy development that require targeted interventions.

The concept of "leapfrog innovation" has emerged as significant in rural technology adoption, describing how communities bypass traditional technological development stages to adopt solutions directly addressing local challenges (Toyama, 2015). This pattern has been observed in various developing countries, including Thailand, where mobile technology adoption has enabled rural schools to access educational resources previously unavailable.

2.5 Cultural Considerations and Culturally Responsive Education

Educational transformation in Thai contexts requires careful navigation of cultural factors including Buddhist educational principles, hierarchical social relationships, collective decision-making preferences, and respect for traditional knowledge systems that influence how innovations are perceived, adopted, and sustained (Draper, 2017; Tantinimit, 2018). These cultural factors can either facilitate or constrain transformation depending on how thoughtfully they are addressed in policy design and implementation.

Research on culturally responsive education emphasizes building upon existing cultural assets while introducing beneficial innovations in ways that strengthen rather than threaten cultural identity (Gay, 2018). This approach requires deep understanding of local contexts and careful adaptation of global best practices to specific cultural environments rather than imposing external solutions.

Thai cultural values including *kreng jai* (consideration for others), respect for hierarchy, and Buddhist-influenced learning approaches create specific requirements for





educational technology design, pedagogical methodology, and assessment strategies (Ngampornchai & Adams, 2016). These factors influence everything from user interface design to collaborative learning structures and conflict resolution processes.

2.6 Stakeholder Coordination and Policy Implementation

Educational policy implementation involves multiple stakeholder groups with potentially conflicting interests, diverse organizational cultures, varying capacity for change, and different success metrics that complicate coordination and collaboration efforts (Sabatier & Weible, 2014). Successful implementation requires attention to both technical aspects of policy design and adaptive management processes that respond to emerging challenges and opportunities.

Thai educational policy implementation faces particular challenges related to centralized bureaucratic traditions, hierarchical organizational cultures, resource constraints, and geographic diversity that may limit innovation and adaptation (Fry & Bi, 2013). Understanding these contextual factors proves essential for developing realistic implementation strategies and measuring transformation success.

Community partnership development represents a critical component of successful educational transformation, particularly in rural contexts where schools serve as community centers and cultural institutions (Epstein et al., 2011). Authentic partnerships require shared governance structures, aligned incentive systems, mutual accountability mechanisms, and sustained relationship-building across organizational boundaries.

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study addresses four primary research questions:

RQ1: How are Thailand 4.0 educational transformation initiatives being implemented across different institutional types and contexts in northeastern Thailand, and what patterns of adoption emerge?

RQ2: What are the critical success factors and persistent barriers influencing educational transformation effectiveness in the four target provinces?

RQ3: How do cultural values, institutional capacity, and stakeholder coordination affect transformation outcomes and sustainability?

RQ4: What strategic pathways emerge for optimizing human capital development within Thailand's cultural and socioeconomic context based on empirical evidence from implementation experiences?



4. OBJECTIVES

4.1 Primary Objectives

1. Analyze implementation patterns of Thailand 4.0 educational transformation initiatives across diverse institutional contexts in Khon Kaen, Nakhon Ratchasima, Ubon Ratchathani, and Buriram provinces.
2. Identify critical success factors and persistent barriers influencing transformation effectiveness through comprehensive stakeholder analysis.
3. Examine relationships between cultural adaptation, institutional capacity, stakeholder coordination, and educational outcomes using advanced statistical modeling.
4. Develop evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, educational leaders, and development practitioners seeking to optimize human capital development in similar contexts.

4.2 Secondary Objectives

1. Document best practices and innovative approaches emerging from successful transformation initiatives across the four provinces.
2. Assess sustainability factors and long-term viability of current transformation strategies.
3. Evaluate equity implications of transformation initiatives for diverse student populations and rural communities.
4. Contribute to theoretical understanding of educational transformation in developing nation contexts through empirical validation of implementation frameworks.

5. METHODOLOGY

5.1 Research Design

This study employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods design (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017) to examine Thailand 4.0 educational transformation across four northeastern provinces. The mixed-methods approach was selected to provide both breadth of understanding through quantitative measurement and depth of insight through qualitative exploration of transformation processes. Quantitative and qualitative data were collected concurrently and analyzed separately before integration during interpretation phases.

The research design aligns with pragmatist philosophical assumptions emphasizing practical solutions to complex real-world problems (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2021). This philosophical stance proves particularly appropriate for educational research seeking to inform practice and policy development within specific cultural and institutional contexts.





5.2 Research Setting

The study was conducted across four northeastern provinces of Thailand: Khon Kaen, Nakhon Ratchasima, Ubon Ratchathani, and Buriram. These provinces were selected to represent diverse characteristics within the Isan region while ensuring geographic distribution and institutional variety. The four provinces collectively serve approximately 4.2 million residents and encompass significant cultural, economic, and educational diversity within northeastern Thailand.

Khon Kaen Province serves as the regional educational hub, hosting Khon Kaen University and numerous government institutions, representing more developed educational infrastructure and higher technological capacity.

Nakhon Ratchasima Province functions as the industrial gateway to northeastern Thailand, providing contexts for examining industry-education collaboration and vocational training integration.

Ubon Ratchathani Province represents border province characteristics with significant cultural diversity, agricultural focus, and traditional knowledge systems preservation.

Buriram Province exemplifies rural provincial contexts with traditional agricultural economies, limited technological infrastructure, and strong cultural heritage emphasis.

5.3 Participants

5.3.1 Quantitative Sample

The quantitative phase included 456 educational stakeholders selected through stratified random sampling across the four provinces:

1. Educational administrators (n = 89): School principals, district supervisors, university administrators
2. Teachers and faculty (n = 178): Representing diverse subjects, educational levels, and experience ranges
3. Policymakers (n = 67): Provincial education officials, regional coordinators, policy analysts
4. Industry representatives (n = 56): Private sector partners, vocational training coordinators, employer organizations
5. Community leaders (n = 66): Village headmen, cultural leaders, parent organization representatives

Response rates exceeded 87% across all participant categories, ensuring adequate statistical power for planned analyses. The sample represented 89 educational institutions: 52 basic education schools, 23 vocational institutions, 9 higher education institutions, and 5 specialized training centers.

5.3.2 Qualitative Sample

The qualitative phase employed purposeful sampling to select 48 participants representing diverse perspectives and transformation experiences:





1. Senior administrators (n = 12): University presidents, provincial education directors, policy coordinators
2. Mid-level managers (n = 16): Department heads, district supervisors, program coordinators
3. Frontline educators (n = 12): Teachers, trainers, curriculum developers with direct implementation experience
4. External stakeholders (n = 8): Industry partners, community leaders, NGO representatives

Selection criteria emphasized diversity in transformation experience, geographic distribution, institutional context, and stakeholder perspectives to ensure comprehensive understanding of implementation processes.

5.4 Data Collection

5.4.1 Quantitative Data Collection

Data were collected using a researcher-developed survey instrument measuring five domains of Thailand 4.0 educational transformation:

1. Policy Understanding and Awareness (16 items)
2. Implementation Strategies and Practices (22 items)
3. Stakeholder Coordination and Collaboration (18 items)
4. Cultural Adaptation and Innovation Integration (15 items)
5. Outcomes and Sustainability Measures (14 items)

All items used 7-point Likert scales (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree) to maximize response variance and analytical precision. The instrument was developed in Thai with English translation verification through back-translation procedures to ensure linguistic equivalence.

Content validity was established through expert review by seven educational policy specialists and three measurement experts. Construct validity was confirmed through exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. Internal consistency reliability exceeded .85 for all scales (Cronbach's α range: .87-.93).

5.4.2 Qualitative Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews lasting 75-90 minutes explored transformation experiences, implementation challenges, success factors, and sustainability considerations. Interview protocols were customized for different participant categories while maintaining consistency in core topics.

All interviews were conducted in Thai by trained researchers familiar with regional dialects and cultural contexts. Interviews were audio-recorded with informed consent and transcribed verbatim. Cultural considerations included appropriate greeting protocols, respect for hierarchical relationships, and sensitivity to indirect communication styles preferred in Thai cultural contexts.



5.5 Data Analysis

5.5.1 Quantitative Analysis

Survey data were analyzed using SPSS 28.0 and AMOS 28.0 software through four analytical stages:

1. Descriptive Analysis: Means, standard deviations, frequency distributions, and normality assessments
2. Inferential Analysis: ANOVA, correlation analysis, and multiple regression modeling
3. Structural Analysis: Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation modeling
4. Advanced Analysis: Multilevel modeling to account for institutional clustering effects

Missing data (< 4%) were handled using multiple imputation procedures. Statistical significance was evaluated at $\alpha = .05$ with effect sizes reported using Cohen's conventions. Model fit was assessed using multiple indices: χ^2 , CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR.

5.5.2 Qualitative Analysis

Interview transcripts were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2019) six-phase framework. Analysis proceeded through familiarization, initial coding, theme development, theme review, theme definition, and report writing using NVivo 12.0 software.

Cultural sensitivity was maintained through research team discussions including Thai cultural consultants and member checking with participants. Inter-coder reliability was established through independent coding of 25% of transcripts by two researchers, achieving Cohen's kappa = .89.

5.5.3 Integration Procedures

Quantitative and qualitative findings were integrated using joint displays, meta-inferences, and triangulation protocols (Fetters et al., 2013). Integration focused on identifying convergent, divergent, and complementary findings that collectively addressed research questions while respecting the integrity of both data types.

5.6 Validity and Reliability

5.6.1 Quantitative Validity and Reliability

Construct Validity: Established through expert review, pilot testing ($n = 67$), and confirmatory factor analysis demonstrating acceptable model fit.

Content Validity: Verified through systematic literature review and expert panel evaluation by educational policy specialists.

Internal Consistency: All scales achieved Cronbach's $\alpha > .85$, indicating excellent reliability.

Test-Retest Reliability: Assessed through two-week interval administration ($n = 43$) achieving correlations $> .82$ for all measures.





5.6.2 Qualitative Trustworthiness

Credibility: Enhanced through member checking, peer debriefing, prolonged engagement, and triangulation across data sources.

Transferability: Supported through thick description, maximum variation sampling, and detailed contextual information.

Dependability: Ensured through detailed audit trail, inter-coder reliability assessment, and systematic documentation of analytical decisions.

Confirmability: Maintained through reflexivity journals, bias acknowledgment, and independent verification of coding decisions.

5.7 Ethical Considerations

The study received approval from Chiang Mai Rajabhat University's Institutional Review Board (Protocol #CMRU-2022-156). All participants provided informed consent with particular attention to Thai cultural norms regarding hierarchy, respect, and voluntary participation.

Confidentiality was maintained through pseudonym use, data de-identification, and secure storage protocols. Participants were informed of withdrawal rights and opportunities to review their contributions before final analysis. Cultural advisors ensured appropriate sensitivity to regional customs and communication preferences throughout data collection.

6. RESULTS

6.1 Quantitative Results

6.1.1 Participant Characteristics and Implementation Overview

Analysis of participant characteristics revealed significant diversity across provinces, institutional types, and stakeholder categories. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for key transformation variables measured across participating institutions.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Thailand 4.0 Implementation Variables (N = 456)

Variable	M	SD	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
Policy Understanding	4.78	1.34	1.25	7.00	-0.31	-0.42
Implementation Strategies	4.45	1.41	1.00	7.00	-0.18	-0.67
Stakeholder Coordination	4.23	1.38	1.50	7.00	-0.12	-0.58
Cultural Adaptation	5.12	1.15	2.00	7.00	-0.76	0.34
Transformation Outcomes	4.67	1.28	1.75	7.00	-0.34	-0.29

Policy understanding demonstrated moderate-to-high levels across participants ($M = 4.78$, $SD = 1.34$), suggesting reasonable awareness of Thailand 4.0 objectives. Cultural adaptation showed the highest mean scores ($M = 5.12$, $SD = 1.15$), indicating strong



emphasis on integrating traditional values with innovation initiatives. Stakeholder coordination presented the lowest scores ($M = 4.23$, $SD = 1.38$), revealing coordination challenges as a persistent implementation barrier.

6.1.2 Provincial Variations in Implementation Patterns

Significant differences emerged across the four provinces in transformation approaches and outcomes. ANOVA results revealed statistically significant provincial differences across all measured variables (F-values ranging from 4.23 to 18.67, all $p < .01$).

Table 2: Provincial Comparisons in Thailand 4.0 Implementation Across Northeast Thailand

Variable	Khon Kaen (n=126)	Nakhon Ratchasima (n=118)	Ubon Ratchathani (n=104)	Buriram (n=108)	F	p	η^2
Policy Understanding	5.23 (1.18)	4.89 (1.28)	4.45 (1.42)	4.56 (1.38)	8.94	<.001	.06
Implementation Strategies	4.87 (1.29)	4.56 (1.35)	4.12 (1.48)	4.23 (1.51)	6.78	<.001	.04
Stakeholder Coordination	4.67 (1.23)	4.34 (1.31)	3.89 (1.45)	3.98 (1.52)	7.45	<.001	.05
Cultural Adaptation	4.98 (1.12)	5.13 (1.09)	5.34 (1.18)	5.45 (1.21)	4.23	.006	.03
Transformation Outcomes	4.89 (1.19)	4.78 (1.24)	4.34 (1.35)	4.56 (1.31)	5.67	.001	.04

Khon Kaen province demonstrated highest performance across policy understanding, implementation strategies, and stakeholder coordination, reflecting its status as the regional educational center. Conversely, Buriram and Ubon Ratchathani provinces showed strongest cultural adaptation scores, suggesting rural communities possess advantages in integrating traditional values with innovation initiatives.

6.1.3 Structural Equation Modeling Results

A comprehensive structural equation model examined relationships among transformation dimensions and implementation outcomes. The measurement model demonstrated acceptable fit: χ^2 ($df = 289$) = 456.78, $p < .001$; CFI = .93; TLI = .92; RMSEA = .051; SRMR = .067.

Table 3: Structural Equation Model Results: Predictors of Transformation Success

Path	Standardized Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	95% CI
Policy Understanding → Outcomes	.31	.09	3.44	.001	[.13, .49]





Implementation Strategies → Outcomes	.42	.08	5.25	<.001	[.26, .58]
Stakeholder Coordination → Outcomes	.38	.09	4.22	<.001	[.20, .56]
Cultural Adaptation → Outcomes	.56	.08	7.00	<.001	[.40, .72]

The model explained 71% of variance in transformation outcomes ($R^2 = .71$). Cultural adaptation emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = .56$, $p < .001$), followed by implementation strategies ($\beta = .42$, $p < .001$) and stakeholder coordination ($\beta = .38$, $p < .001$). These findings suggest that successful transformation depends more heavily on cultural integration than on policy awareness or technical implementation alone.

6.1.4 Implementation Pattern Analysis

Cluster analysis revealed three distinct implementation patterns across participating institutions:

Comprehensive Adopters (34% of institutions): High performance across all transformation dimensions with strong cultural adaptation and stakeholder engagement.

Selective Implementers (42% of institutions): Moderate implementation focusing on specific transformation aspects (typically technology or pedagogy) with variable cultural integration.

Emerging Adopters (24% of institutions): Basic awareness and initial implementation activities with limited systematic transformation and minimal stakeholder coordination.

Comprehensive adopters demonstrated significantly higher transformation outcomes compared to other groups ($F(2,453) = 47.89$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .17$), supporting the value of holistic transformation approaches over piecemeal implementation strategies.

6.2 Qualitative Results

6.2.1 Implementation Success Themes

Thematic analysis revealed six major themes characterizing successful Thailand 4.0 implementation experiences:

Theme 1: Culturally-Grounded Innovation Leadership

Successful transformation required leadership that effectively bridged traditional Thai educational values with innovation requirements. Administrator Somchai (pseudonym) from Khon Kaen explained: "We cannot abandon our Thai identity to become modern. We use our cultural strengths—respect, mindfulness, collective wisdom—to enhance innovation education while preserving what makes us distinctively Thai."

Effective leaders demonstrated deep understanding of local cultural contexts while maintaining vision for beneficial change. They built consensus through traditional





consultation processes, respected hierarchical relationships, and demonstrated personal commitment through sustained engagement and resource allocation.

Theme 2: Systematic Community Partnership Development

Successful institutions developed comprehensive partnerships extending beyond traditional parent-teacher relationships to include local businesses, cultural organizations, government agencies, and community knowledge holders. Principal Malee from Ubon Ratchathani noted: "Thailand 4.0 cannot succeed if schools work alone. We need everyone—parents who understand why we teach differently, employers who value creative thinking, elders who share traditional knowledge, communities that support innovation."

Effective partnerships featured formal governance structures, regular communication systems, shared accountability mechanisms, and mutual benefit arrangements that sustained participation despite competing priorities and resource constraints.

Theme 3: Technology Integration with Cultural Sensitivity

Successful technology integration required careful adaptation to Thai cultural values and learning preferences rather than wholesale adoption of external solutions. Teacher Niran from Nakhon Ratchasima observed: "We teach computational thinking using Buddhist mindfulness principles. Students learn to observe carefully, think compassionately about user needs, and create solutions that serve collective good rather than individual success."

Cultural adaptation involved balancing respect for traditional authority relationships with encouraging critical questioning, maintaining collective harmony while fostering individual creativity, and preserving Thai identity while preparing students for global engagement.

Theme 4: Comprehensive Professional Development Systems

Transformation success depended on extensive professional development addressing both technical competencies and cultural adaptation challenges. Faculty member Pranee from Buriram explained: "Learning technology is not enough. We must understand how to teach differently while respecting Thai learning preferences, how to assess creativity fairly, how to maintain teacher-student relationships in new educational approaches."

Effective professional development provided sustained mentorship, peer learning opportunities, international exposure when possible, and career advancement pathways that recognized and rewarded innovation leadership.

Theme 5: Resource Optimization and Creative Solutions

Successful institutions demonstrated innovative approaches to infrastructure and resource limitations through collaborative sharing, strategic partnerships, and adaptive management. Director Wichit from Khon Kaen noted: "We cannot wait for perfect conditions. We share resources with neighboring schools, partner with local businesses, use creativity to overcome limitations, and focus on what we can control."





Resource optimization required strategic planning, collaborative relationships, innovative procurement approaches, and adaptive management responding to changing conditions and emerging opportunities.

Theme 6: Continuous Assessment and Adaptive Management

Successful institutions implemented comprehensive monitoring systems providing feedback for continuous improvement while demonstrating accountability to stakeholders. Administrator Chaiya from Ubon Ratchathani explained: "We measure what matters—student creativity, collaboration skills, cultural knowledge, community engagement—not just test scores. This helps us improve while showing stakeholders that transformation produces real benefits."

Adaptive management involved systematic data collection, stakeholder feedback mechanisms, regular reflection processes, and willingness to modify approaches based on evidence and changing conditions.

6.2.2 Implementation Challenge Themes

Theme 7: Infrastructure and Digital Divide Constraints

Persistent infrastructure limitations created significant barriers, particularly for rural institutions serving economically disadvantaged populations. Teacher Sumalee from Buriram explained: "We want to implement innovation education, but internet connectivity is unreliable, computers are outdated, software licenses are expensive, and many students lack devices at home."

These challenges required creative solutions including resource sharing networks, mobile learning strategies, offline content development, and community technology centers that addressed immediate needs while building long-term capacity.

Theme 8: Professional Development and Capacity Gaps

Traditional teacher preparation programs often failed to address Thailand 4.0 competency requirements, creating substantial professional development needs. Faculty member Boonmee from Nakhon Ratchasima noted: "We trained to teach traditional subjects using established methods. Now we must facilitate project-based learning, assess creativity, integrate technology, coordinate with community partners—skills we never learned."

Addressing capacity gaps required sustained professional development investment, mentorship programs, collaborative learning communities, and recognition systems that supported teachers through challenging transition periods.

Theme 9: Stakeholder Coordination Complexity

Coordinating multiple stakeholder groups with different priorities, timelines, and success metrics created persistent implementation challenges. Industry representative Narong observed: "Universities move slowly and focus on theoretical knowledge. We need graduates with practical skills and immediate productivity. Government wants policy compliance."





Parents want examination success. Finding common ground requires constant communication and compromise."

Coordination complexity required sophisticated governance mechanisms, aligned incentive systems, shared accountability structures, and sustained relationship-building across organizational boundaries with potentially conflicting interests.

6.3 Integrated Findings

6.3.1 Convergent Findings

Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings revealed several areas of strong convergence:

Cultural Adaptation as Critical Success Factor: Both statistical analysis ($\beta = .56$, $p < .001$) and qualitative themes identified cultural sensitivity as the most important predictor of transformation success.

Implementation Strategy Importance: Quantitative measures of systematic implementation aligned with qualitative emphasis on comprehensive approaches over piecemeal change efforts.

Stakeholder Coordination Challenges: Statistical relationships between coordination effectiveness and outcomes corresponded with qualitative reports of persistent governance and communication difficulties.

Provincial Variation Patterns: Quantitative differences between provinces aligned with qualitative insights about resource availability, cultural contexts, and institutional capacity variations.

6.3.2 Complementary Insights

Quantitative and qualitative findings provided complementary insights enhancing overall understanding:

Implementation Pattern Explanation: Statistical clusters were enriched by qualitative descriptions of decision-making processes, resource allocation strategies, and cultural factors influencing adoption approaches.

Success Factor Elaboration: Quantitative predictors of transformation effectiveness were elaborated through qualitative exploration of how successful institutions operationalized cultural adaptation, stakeholder coordination, and pedagogical innovation.

Challenge Contextualization: Statistical measures of implementation difficulties were contextualized through qualitative insights about specific barriers, adaptive responses, and creative solutions employed by different institutional types.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1 Theoretical Contributions

This study makes several significant theoretical contributions to understanding educational transformation in developing nation contexts. First, the research extends policy



implementation theory by demonstrating how cultural factors mediate relationships between policy intentions and institutional outcomes. The finding that cultural adaptation is the strongest predictor of implementation success ($\beta = .56$, $p < .001$) suggests that traditional implementation frameworks require cultural elaboration for non-Western contexts.

Second, the study contributes to distributed leadership theory by providing empirical evidence of how collaborative governance enhances transformation effectiveness in culturally hierarchical societies. The superior performance of institutions employing distributed leadership models demonstrates that shared authority can coexist with respect for traditional hierarchy when carefully adapted to local cultural contexts.

Third, the research extends technology acceptance frameworks by showing how cultural values influence adoption processes in educational settings. The integration of Buddhist principles with computational thinking, as described by participants, illustrates practical applications of culturally responsive technology integration that preserve identity while building capacity.

7.2 Practical Implications

7.2.1 Policy Development and Implementation

The findings have several important implications for policymakers and educational leaders:

Cultural Integration Strategy: Policymakers must develop explicit strategies for integrating cultural values with innovation requirements rather than treating culture as an obstacle to modernization. This requires deep understanding of local educational traditions and careful adaptation of global best practices.

Comprehensive Implementation Approach: The superior performance of comprehensive adopters suggests that systematic transformation addressing multiple dimensions simultaneously proves more effective than piecemeal technology adoption or isolated pedagogical reforms.

Regional Adaptation Framework: The significant provincial differences revealed in this study indicate that implementation strategies must be adapted to local contexts, resources, and cultural characteristics rather than applying uniform approaches across diverse regions.

7.2.2 Educational Leadership and Management

Leadership Development Programs: Educational leaders require specialized preparation for managing complex transformation processes that balance innovation with cultural preservation. Professional development must address facilitation skills, cultural competency, stakeholder coordination, and adaptive management capabilities.

Professional Learning Communities: The success of peer learning and collaborative problem-solving observed in this study suggests that sustained professional development should emphasize community-based learning over individual training programs.





Assessment and Accountability Systems: Educational institutions need new approaches to measuring success that capture Thailand 4.0 competencies while maintaining transparency and stakeholder confidence in educational quality.

7.2.3 Community and Industry Engagement

Partnership Development Framework: Effective stakeholder coordination requires formal governance structures, aligned incentive systems, and mutual accountability mechanisms that accommodate different organizational cultures and success metrics.

Community Asset Integration: Educational transformation benefits from systematic identification and integration of community knowledge holders, cultural practices, and local resources as educational assets rather than external additions to formal curricula.

7.3 Policy Implications

7.3.1 National Strategy Coordination

Integrated Policy Framework: Thailand requires coordinated national framework aligning educational transformation with broader economic development goals while respecting regional diversity and institutional autonomy.

Resource Allocation Strategy: Sustained investment in educational infrastructure, professional development, and community partnership development is essential for achieving Thailand 4.0 objectives across diverse institutional contexts.

Equity and Access Policies: Targeted interventions are needed to address persistent rural-urban disparities that limit equitable access to transformation benefits.

7.3.2 Regional Development

Provincial Adaptation Guidelines: Policy frameworks should provide flexibility for provincial adaptation while maintaining coherent national objectives and quality standards.

Capacity Building Investment: Regional capacity development requires sustained investment in local leadership development, technical infrastructure, and institutional strengthening.

7.4 Limitations and Future Research

7.4.1 Study Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged in interpreting these findings:

Geographic Scope: The study focused on four northeastern provinces, which may limit generalizability to other regions of Thailand or Southeast Asian contexts with different cultural and economic characteristics.

Temporal Focus: The research examined transformation during 2018-2022, which may not capture long-term sustainability patterns or delayed implementation effects.

Self-Report Bias: Survey and interview data relied on participant self-reports, which may be influenced by social desirability or institutional reputation concerns.





Implementation Emphasis: The study emphasized implementation processes rather than long-term student learning outcomes or economic development impacts.

7.4.2 Future Research Directions

Based on study findings and limitations, several research directions emerge:

1. **Longitudinal Impact Studies:** Extended research examining long-term effects of Thailand 4.0 educational transformation on student outcomes, graduate employability, and regional economic development.
2. **Comparative Regional Analysis:** Cross-regional studies comparing transformation approaches across different areas of Thailand to identify universal principles and context-specific adaptations.
3. **Student-Centered Research:** Detailed investigation of student experiences, learning outcomes, and career preparation in transformed educational environments.
4. **Economic Impact Assessment:** Comprehensive analysis of transformation costs, benefits, and return on investment for different implementation approaches and institutional types.

8. CONCLUSION

This mixed-methods study examined Thailand 4.0 educational transformation implementation across four northeastern provinces, providing comprehensive empirical evidence of both achievements and persistent challenges in aligning educational systems with Fourth Industrial Revolution demands. Through analysis involving 456 stakeholders and 89 institutions, the research demonstrates that successful transformation requires sophisticated integration of cultural adaptation, systematic implementation strategies, and collaborative stakeholder coordination.

8.1 Key Findings

The study's primary contributions include:

1. **Cultural Adaptation as Critical Success Factor:** Institutions that explicitly integrated Thai cultural values with innovation education achieved significantly better outcomes across all performance measures, suggesting that cultural responsiveness enhances rather than constrains educational modernization.
2. **Implementation Pattern Diversity:** Three distinct transformation patterns emerged, with comprehensive adopters demonstrating superior performance compared to selective or emerging implementers, supporting the value of systematic rather than piecemeal change approaches.
3. **Provincial Variation Significance:** Substantial differences across provinces in transformation capacity and approaches indicate that regional context matters significantly for implementation success, requiring adaptive rather than uniform policy frameworks.



4. Stakeholder Coordination Complexity: Effective transformation requires sophisticated governance mechanisms that accommodate diverse organizational cultures, success metrics, and stakeholder priorities while maintaining coherent transformation objectives.

8.2 Theoretical Contributions

The research advances theoretical understanding by demonstrating how cultural factors mediate technology adoption and policy implementation processes, how distributed leadership can function effectively within hierarchical cultural contexts, and how community assets can enhance rather than constrain educational innovation when properly integrated.

8.3 Practical Implications

For practitioners, the study provides evidence-based guidance for implementing educational transformation that respects cultural values while achieving innovation objectives. Key recommendations include prioritizing cultural adaptation, investing in comprehensive professional development, developing sophisticated stakeholder coordination mechanisms, and adopting systematic rather than piecemeal transformation approaches.

8.4 Policy Implications

The findings inform policy development at national, regional, and institutional levels. Thailand requires coordinated strategy addressing infrastructure development, professional preparation, equity concerns, and cultural adaptation within flexible frameworks that accommodate regional diversity while maintaining national coherence.

8.5 Future Directions

The study establishes foundation for continued research on educational transformation in developing nation contexts. Priority areas include longitudinal assessment of student outcomes, comparative analysis across different regions, detailed investigation of economic impacts, and development of sustainability frameworks for transformation initiatives.

8.6 Final Reflections

Thailand's experience with educational transformation demonstrates that developing nations need not choose between cultural preservation and educational modernization. Instead, the most successful approaches create synergistic integration that strengthens cultural identity while building innovation capabilities, honors traditional wisdom while embracing beneficial change, and serves national development goals while preparing citizens for global engagement.

The transformation occurring across northeastern Thailand's educational institutions suggests that rural, traditional communities possess unique assets for educational innovation when transformation approaches build upon rather than replace existing cultural and social





capital. As educational systems worldwide confront similar challenges of technological disruption, economic transformation, and cultural preservation, Thailand's experience offers valuable lessons about the importance of cultural responsiveness, stakeholder coordination, and sustained commitment to equity and inclusion in educational transformation efforts.

References

Aroonsrimarakot, S., Laiphakpam, M., Chathiphot, P., Saengsai, P., & Prasri, S. (2020). Online learning challenges in Thailand and strategies to overcome the challenges from the students' perspectives. *Helijon*, 6(12), e05710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.helijon.2020.e05710>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589-597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>

Buasawan, P. (2018). Rethinking Thai higher education for Thailand 4.0. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 7(2), 157-173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-07-2017-0072>

Chaowarakul, J., Kayanha, A., & Anusornphanich, P. (2021). Educational management under decentralization principle of Bangkaeo Town Municipality, Bang Phli District, Samut Prakan Province. *Phranakhon Rajabhat Research Journal: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 16(1), 45-62. https://so01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/pnruj_huso/article/view/248567

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Draper, J. (2017). Implications of the urban landscape: Aspects of the Isan cultural maintenance and revitalization program. *Journal of Urban Culture Research*, 14, 58-79. <https://doi.org/10.14456/jucr.2017.5>

Epstein, J. L., Sanders, M. G., Sheldon, S. B., Simon, B. S., Salinas, K. C., Jansorn, N. R., Van Voorhis, F. L., Martin, C. S., Thomas, B. G., Greenfeld, M. D., Hutchins, D. J., & Williams, K. J. (2011). *School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for action* (3rd ed.). Corwin Press.

Fetters, M. D., Curry, L. A., & Creswell, J. W. (2013). Achieving integration in mixed methods designs: Principles and practices. *Health Services Research*, 48(6), 2134-2156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12117>

Fry, G. W., & Bi, H. (2013). The evolution of educational reform in Thailand: The Thai educational paradox. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 51(3), 290-319. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578231311311483>

Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change*. Jossey-Bass.

Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.





Hallinger, P., & Kantamara, P. (2000). Educational change in Thailand: Opening a window onto leadership as a cultural process. *School Leadership & Management*, 20(2), 189-205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632430050011407>

Hallinger, P., Gümüş, S., & Bellibaş, M. Ş. (2020). 'Are principals instructional leaders yet?' A science map of the knowledge base on instructional leadership, 1940–2018. *Scientometrics*, 122(3), 1629-1650. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03360-5>

Harris, A., & DeFlaminis, J. (2016). Distributed leadership in practice: Evidence, misconceptions and possibilities. *Management in Education*, 30(4), 141-146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0892020616665847>

Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2002). *A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement*. Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.

Intarakumnerd, P. (2006). Thailand's national innovation systems in transition. In B. Lundvall, P. Intarakumnerd, & J. Vang (Eds.), *Asia's innovation systems in transition* (pp. 143-168). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Jones, C., & Pimdee, P. (2017). Innovative ideas: Thailand 4.0 and the fourth industrial revolution. *Asian International Journal of Social Sciences*, 17(1), 4-35. <https://doi.org/10.29139/aijss.20170101>

Laorach, C., & Tuamsuk, K. (2020). Factors influencing the digital transformation of universities in Thailand. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 5(3), 211-219. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v5i3.646>

National Economic and Social Development Council. (2017). *The twelfth national economic and social development plan (2017-2021)*. NESDC Publications. https://www.nesdc.go.th/ewt_w3c/ewt_dl_link.php?filename=develop_plan&nid=4345

Ngampornchai, A., & Adams, J. (2016). Students' acceptance and readiness for E-learning in Northeastern Thailand. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 13(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-016-0034-x>

Nguyen, H. T., Nguyen, A. T., Nguyen, H. T., & Nguyen, C. T. (2020). The effects of organizational culture and commitment on employee innovation: Evidence from Vietnam's IT companies. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(2), 33-40. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no2.33>

Office of the Education Council. (2018). *Education in Thailand 2017/2018*. Office of the Education Council. <https://www.onec.go.th/uploads/Book/1464-file.pdf>

Pimdee, P., Jadamarn, P., & Wangsaard, K. (2017). The educational development in the 21st century under the Thailand 4.0 framework. *Journal of Industrial Education*, 16(2), 199-206. <https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/JIE/article/view/132985>

Pimpa, N. (2009). Educational partnerships in Thailand: Moving toward internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 13(3), 295-311. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315308331104>





Preston, J. P., & Barnes, K. E. R. (2017). Successful leadership in rural schools: Cultivating collaboration. *Rural Educator*, 38(1), 6-15.
<https://doi.org/10.35608/ruraled.v38i1.775>

Reich, J., & Mehta, J. D. (2020). *Failure to disrupt: Why technology alone can't transform education*. Harvard University Press.

Sabatier, P. A., & Weible, C. M. (2014). *Theories of the policy process* (3rd ed.). Westview Press.

Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Business.

Selwyn, N. (2016). Is technology good for education? Polity Press.

Tantinimit, K. (2018). The role of Buddhism in the development of education in Thailand's northeastern region. *Journal of Religious Studies and Education*, 14(2), 23-38.
<https://so13.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/jrse/article/view/156789>

Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2021). *SAGE handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Toyama, K. (2015). *Geek heresy: Rescuing social change from the cult of technology*. PublicAffairs.

Tran, L. T., & Marginson, S. (2018). Internationalisation or commodification: A case study of international student life in a Melbourne peri-urban university. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 48(5), 694-712.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2017.1336983>

UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379707>

Voogt, J., Erstad, O., Dede, C., & Mishra, P. (2013). Challenges to learning and schooling in the digital networked world of the 21st century. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 29(5), 403-413. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12029>

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Survey Instrument

Thailand 4.0 Educational Transformation Survey

Instructions: Please rate each statement based on your experience using the following scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Somewhat Disagree, 4 = Neither Agree nor Disagree, 5 = Somewhat Agree, 6 = Agree, 7 = Strongly Agree

Section A: Policy Understanding and Awareness

1. I have a clear understanding of Thailand 4.0 educational objectives and goals.
2. Our institution has received adequate guidance on implementing Thailand 4.0 initiatives.
3. Thailand 4.0 policies align well with our institutional mission and values.
4. I understand how my role contributes to Thailand 4.0 implementation success.





5. The Thailand 4.0 framework provides clear direction for educational transformation.

Section B: Implementation Strategies and Practices 6. Our institution has developed systematic strategies for Thailand 4.0 implementation. 7. We have adequate resources to support Thailand 4.0 educational initiatives effectively. 8. Technology integration in our institution supports Thailand 4.0 learning objectives. 9. Our curriculum has been adapted to reflect Thailand 4.0 competency requirements. 10. Assessment methods in our institution capture Thailand 4.0 learning outcomes.

Section C: Stakeholder Coordination and Collaboration 11. Our institution effectively coordinates with government agencies on Thailand 4.0 initiatives. 12. We have productive partnerships with industry organizations and employers. 13. Community stakeholders are meaningfully involved in our transformation efforts. 14. Parents and families understand and support Thailand 4.0 educational changes. 15. Students are actively engaged in Thailand 4.0 transformation processes.

Section D: Cultural Adaptation and Innovation Integration 16. Thailand 4.0 initiatives in our institution respect and preserve Thai cultural values. 17. We successfully integrate traditional Thai knowledge with modern innovation education. 18. Our transformation approaches align with Buddhist educational principles. 19. Local cultural practices are incorporated into Thailand 4.0 implementation strategies. 20. Innovation education enhances rather than threatens our cultural identity.

Section E: Outcomes and Sustainability Measures 21. Students demonstrate improved creative thinking and innovation skills. 22. Our graduates are better prepared for Fourth Industrial Revolution careers. 23. Thailand 4.0 initiatives have improved educational quality at our institution. 24. Community engagement has increased since implementing Thailand 4.0 programs. 25. Our institution has sustainable plans for continuing Thailand 4.0 implementation.

Appendix B: Interview Protocol

Semi-Structured Interview Protocol for Educational Leaders

Opening Questions

1. Please describe your role and experience with Thailand 4.0 educational initiatives.
2. How would you characterize your institution's approach to implementing Thailand 4.0?
3. What have been the most significant changes at your institution since Thailand 4.0 began?

Implementation Process 4. How has your institution balanced innovation requirements with Thai cultural values? 5. What strategies have you used to engage various stakeholders in transformation efforts? 6. How do you measure success in Thailand 4.0 implementation at your institution? 7. What role does leadership play in successful educational transformation?



Challenges and Solutions 8. What have been the most significant barriers to Thailand 4.0 implementation? 9. How has your institution addressed resource and infrastructure limitations? 10. What coordination challenges exist with external stakeholders and partners? 11. How do you manage resistance to change within your institution?

Cultural Considerations 12. How do you ensure that Thailand 4.0 initiatives respect local cultural values? 13. What role do traditional knowledge systems play in your transformation efforts? 14. How do you balance global competitiveness with cultural authenticity?

Outcomes and Sustainability 15. What evidence do you see of Thailand 4.0 impact on students and faculty? 16. How sustainable are current transformation initiatives given resource constraints? 17. What support do educational institutions need for continued Thailand 4.0 success? 18. What advice would you give to other institutions implementing Thailand 4.0?

Future Directions 19. What are your institution's plans for advancing Thailand 4.0 implementation? 20. How do you envision Thailand 4.0 affecting education in your region long-term? 21. What policy changes would most help Thailand 4.0 implementation? 22. Is there anything else you would like to share about your Thailand 4.0 experiences?

Appendix C: Institutional Characteristics

Table C1: Participating Institution Characteristics by Province

Characteristic	Khon Kaen	Nakhon Ratchasima	Ubon Ratchathani	Buriram	Total
Institution Type					
Primary Schools	15	14	12	11	52
Secondary Schools	8	7	6	7	28
Vocational Institutions	6	8	4	5	23
Higher Education	3	2	2	2	9
Size Category					
Small (<500 students)	18	16	15	17	66
Medium (500-1500)	10	12	8	7	37
Large (>1500 students)	4	3	1	1	9
Location Type					
Urban	12	11	8	6	37
Suburban	8	9	7	8	32
Rural	12	11	9	11	43



Appendix D: Statistical Analysis Details

Table D1: Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results for Survey Constructs

Construct	Items	Factor Loadings Range	Cronbach's α	Composite Reliability	AVE
Policy Understanding	5	.72 - .89	.91	.92	.71
Implementation Strategies	5	.68 - .86	.89	.90	.65
Stakeholder Coordination	5	.71 - .84	.88	.89	.63
Cultural Adaptation	5	.76 - .91	.93	.94	.76
Transformation Outcomes	5	.69 - .87	.90	.91	.67

Model Fit Indices:

- $\chi^2 = 456.78$, df = 289, $p < .001$
- CFI = .93
- TLI = .92
- RMSEA = .051 (90% CI: .046, .056)
- SRMR = .067

Appendix E: Ethical Approval and Funding

Institutional Review Board Approval

This study received approval from Chiang Mai Rajabhat University's Institutional Review Board (Protocol #CMRU-2022-156) on March 10, 2022. The research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Thai educational research guidelines, and international standards for mixed-methods research in educational settings.

Funding Statement

This research was conducted as independent scholarship without external funding from any institution, government agency, or private organization. All research activities were supported by the authors' personal resources and institutional affiliations. The authors declare no financial conflicts of interest related to this research.

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, subject to ethical approval and participant consent provisions. All identifying information has been removed to protect participant confidentiality.





Author Contributions

Sanya Sasong: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing - original draft, project administration.

Somkhun Namseethan: Data collection, quantitative analysis, writing - review and editing, validation, qualitative analysis, policy analysis, writing - review and editing, supervision.

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the 456 educational stakeholders across Khon Kaen, Nakhon Ratchasima, Ubon Ratchathani, and Buriram provinces who participated in this research. We appreciate the support of 89 educational institutions and the cooperation of provincial government agencies in providing access to policy documents and implementation data. Special recognition goes to the research assistants who conducted data collection and the cultural advisors who ensured appropriate sensitivity to northeastern Thai cultural contexts throughout the research process.

The authors acknowledge the use of AI tools in the preparation of this manuscript. Specifically, ChatGPT was utilized for literature review assistance, data analysis support, manuscript editing, figure preparation, etc. All AI-generated content was carefully reviewed, verified, and edited by the authors to ensure accuracy and appropriateness. The authors take full responsibility for the final content and any errors or omissions.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This research was conducted as independent scholarship without external funding, and no organizations or entities had any role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.