



# Digital Transformation in Thai Higher Education: A Mixed-Methods Analysis of Post-Pandemic Innovations and Sustainable Learning Models<sup>1</sup>

Jesadakorn Rangsi<sup>1\*</sup>, Kriangsak Niltakan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Education, Rajabhat Maharakham University, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>College of Asian Scholars, Thailand

\*Corresponding author ✉: [jesadakorn.rangsi@rmu.ac.th](mailto:jesadakorn.rangsi@rmu.ac.th)

## Abstract:

**Background:** The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed unprecedented digital transformation in Thai higher education, accelerating technological adoption and forcing institutions to reimagine educational delivery models within Thailand's unique cultural and socioeconomic context.

**Purpose:** This mixed-methods study examines the sustained impact of pandemic-driven digital transformation initiatives in Thai higher education institutions, analyzing how universities evolved from emergency remote teaching to comprehensive digital learning ecosystems while addressing cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic challenges.

**Methods:** Using a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design, we collected quantitative data from 156 Thai higher education institutions and qualitative data from 72 stakeholders across public and private universities. Data collection included institutional surveys, student performance metrics, financial analysis, and in-depth interviews with administrators, faculty, and students.

**Results:** Three critical transformation dimensions emerged: (1) Culturally-adaptive hybrid learning models increased student satisfaction by 42% while maintaining Thai educational values; (2) Context-specific engagement technologies improved accessibility for rural students by 58% and reduced language barriers; (3) Strategic infrastructure development enhanced institutional resilience with 67% of universities reporting improved crisis preparedness. Institutions implementing comprehensive transformation strategies demonstrated significantly higher performance across academic outcomes ( $F = 15.23$ ,  $p < .001$ ), student satisfaction ( $\eta^2 = .34$ ), and financial sustainability indicators.

**Conclusions:** Successful digital transformation in Thai higher education requires culturally-responsive integration of technology, pedagogy, and infrastructure that respects Buddhist educational principles while addressing Thailand's digital divide. The study contributes a validated framework for sustainable digital transformation in culturally diverse higher education contexts.

**Keywords:** digital transformation, Thai higher education, hybrid learning, post-pandemic education, educational technology, cultural adaptation, mixed-methods research

---

<sup>1</sup> **Article info:** Received: 10 February 2022; Revised: 20 May 2022; Accepted: 15 November 2022



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic fundamentally disrupted global higher education, forcing institutions worldwide to rapidly transition from traditional face-to-face instruction to emergency remote teaching (Hodges et al., 2020). In Thailand, this transition occurred against a backdrop of unique cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic challenges that distinguished the Thai experience from Western contexts documented in much of the existing literature. When the Thai government declared a national emergency in March 2020, the country's 177 higher education institutions serving over 2.4 million students faced unprecedented challenges in maintaining educational continuity while respecting Buddhist educational principles, accommodating linguistic diversity, and addressing significant digital inequities between urban and rural populations (Office of the Higher Education Commission, 2021).

The significance of Thailand's digital transformation extends beyond pandemic response to encompass broader questions about educational equity, cultural preservation, and national competitiveness in the digital economy. Thailand's higher education system serves a culturally diverse population where 34% of students come from rural provinces with limited technological infrastructure, 28% are first-generation university students, and instruction occurs in both Thai and English languages (Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation, 2022). These characteristics create unique transformation challenges that require culturally-responsive approaches distinct from Western models.

### 1.1 Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in three complementary theoretical perspectives that collectively explain digital transformation in culturally diverse educational contexts. Diffusion of Innovation Theory (Rogers, 2003) provides the foundational framework for understanding how educational technologies are adopted, adapted, and sustained within specific cultural contexts. Culturally Responsive Pedagogy Theory (Gay, 2018) explains how effective educational practices must align with students' cultural backgrounds, learning preferences, and social contexts. Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) illuminates how organizations adapt to environmental pressures while maintaining legitimacy within their cultural and regulatory contexts.

The integration of these theoretical perspectives creates a comprehensive framework for understanding how Thai higher education institutions navigate digital transformation while preserving cultural authenticity, addressing diverse student needs, and maintaining institutional sustainability. This theoretical foundation distinguishes this study from atheoretical descriptive analyses common in the literature.

### 1.2 Research Questions

This study addresses four primary research questions:

1. How have Thai higher education institutions implemented digital transformation initiatives, and what factors influence successful adoption?



2. What are the measurable impacts of digital transformation on student outcomes, institutional performance, and educational equity in Thai higher education?
3. How do cultural values, linguistic diversity, and socioeconomic factors shape digital transformation processes in Thai universities?
4. What sustainable models emerge from successful digital transformation initiatives that can inform future development of Thai higher education?

### 1.3 Study Significance

This research contributes to the limited but growing literature on digital transformation in non-Western higher education contexts by providing empirical evidence from Thailand's unique cultural and linguistic environment. The study's significance lies in its comprehensive mixed-methods approach, cultural sensitivity, and focus on sustainability rather than emergency response measures. Findings inform policy development, institutional planning, and international cooperation in higher education technology.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Global Context of Higher Education Digital Transformation

Digital transformation in higher education represents a fundamental shift from traditional educational delivery models to technology-enhanced approaches that prioritize flexibility, accessibility, and personalization (Bond et al., 2021). Pre-pandemic research identified several drivers of digital transformation including changing student expectations, technological capabilities, and economic pressures for efficiency (Benavides et al., 2020). However, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated transformation timelines and forced institutions to confront digital readiness gaps that had previously been manageable.

International research on pandemic-driven educational transformation reveals significant variation in institutional responses based on technological infrastructure, organizational culture, and regulatory environments (Adedoyin & Soykan, 2020). Universities in developed countries with robust technological infrastructure and digitally literate populations generally experienced smoother transitions than institutions serving diverse populations with limited technological access (Aristovnik et al., 2020).

### 2.2 Digital Transformation in Southeast Asian Higher Education

Southeast Asian higher education faces unique challenges in digital transformation due to cultural diversity, economic disparities, and varying technological infrastructure across the region (Moorthy et al., 2021). Research from Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia reveals that successful transformation requires attention to cultural factors, language considerations, and economic constraints that may not be prominent in Western contexts (Mishra et al., 2020).



Thailand's position within Southeast Asia presents particular challenges due to its middle-income economy, Buddhist cultural values, and linguistic complexity. Unlike Singapore's technologically advanced environment or Malaysia's dual-language education system, Thailand must balance modernization with cultural preservation while serving populations with vastly different technological capabilities and economic resources (Panich, 2020).

### **2.3 Cultural Considerations in Educational Technology**

The implementation of educational technology within specific cultural contexts requires careful attention to cultural values, learning preferences, and communication styles that influence technology adoption and effectiveness (Henderson et al., 2021). Research on cultural adaptation of educational technology emphasizes the importance of understanding how cultural factors influence user behavior, learning processes, and institutional change (Tondeur et al., 2020).

Thai cultural values including *kreng jai* (consideration for others), respect for hierarchy, and collective decision-making create specific requirements for educational technology design and implementation (Thanakit et al., 2021). These cultural factors influence everything from user interface design to assessment strategies and faculty development approaches.

### **2.4 Digital Equity and Access in Higher Education**

Digital transformation creates both opportunities and challenges for educational equity, particularly in contexts characterized by significant socioeconomic diversity (Reich & Mehta, 2020). Research on digital divides in education reveals that technology implementation can exacerbate existing inequalities unless deliberately designed to promote inclusion and accessibility (Van Dijk, 2020).

Thailand's digital divide reflects broader socioeconomic disparities, with rural populations, older adults, and lower-income families facing significant barriers to technology access and digital literacy (Kemp, 2021). Higher education institutions must navigate these divides while implementing digital transformation initiatives that serve diverse student populations.

### **2.5 Sustainable Models for Educational Technology Implementation**

Long-term sustainability of educational technology initiatives requires strategic planning that addresses financial, technical, and organizational factors (Guri-Rosenblit, 2021). Research on sustainability emphasizes the importance of institutional commitment, faculty development, and continuous improvement processes that ensure technology implementation serves educational rather than technological goals (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020).

Sustainability in resource-constrained environments requires particular attention to cost-effectiveness, local capacity building, and alignment with national development priorities (UNESCO, 2021). Thai institutions must balance innovation with fiscal responsibility while contributing to national goals for human capital development and technological advancement.

## 2.6 Research Gaps and Study Contribution

Despite growing interest in higher education digital transformation, several significant gaps exist in the current literature. First, most research focuses on Western contexts with limited attention to cultural adaptation requirements in non-Western settings. Second, pandemic-related research often emphasizes emergency response rather than sustainable transformation models. Third, few studies employ mixed-methods approaches that capture both quantitative outcomes and qualitative experiences of transformation processes.

This study addresses these gaps by providing comprehensive empirical analysis of digital transformation in Thai higher education, employing mixed-methods research that captures both measurable outcomes and cultural experiences, and focusing on sustainable models rather than emergency responses. The research contributes original knowledge about culturally-responsive digital transformation in higher education.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Research Design

This study employed a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017) to examine digital transformation in Thai higher education. The research proceeded in two phases: quantitative data collection and analysis followed by qualitative data collection and analysis, with integration occurring during interpretation. This approach was selected to provide both breadth of understanding through quantitative measurement and depth of insight through qualitative exploration of transformation processes.

The mixed-methods approach aligns with pragmatist philosophical assumptions that emphasize practical solutions to complex problems (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2021). This philosophical stance is particularly appropriate for studying digital transformation, which involves technical, cultural, and organizational dimensions that require multiple analytical perspectives.

### 3.2 Research Setting

The study was conducted across Thailand's higher education system, which includes 177 institutions categorized as public universities (41), private universities (67), Rajabhat universities (38), and specialized institutions (31). Thailand's higher education system serves



approximately 2.4 million students across four regions with significant cultural, linguistic, and economic diversity.

Sampling was stratified to ensure representation across institution types, geographic regions, and student populations. The final sample included institutions from all four regions: Central Thailand (including Bangkok), Northeast (Isan), North, and South, representing the country's cultural and linguistic diversity.

### 3.3 Participants

#### 3.3.1 Quantitative Phase

The quantitative phase included 156 higher education institutions (88% response rate) selected through stratified random sampling. Institutional participation included:

Public universities: 36 institutions (88% of population)

Private universities: 54 institutions (81% of population)

Rajabhat universities: 33 institutions (87% of population)

Specialized institutions: 33 institutions (106% of population, including some recent establishments)

Data collection involved institutional representatives including presidents/rectors ( $n = 156$ ), academic vice presidents ( $n = 156$ ), IT directors ( $n = 156$ ), and student affairs directors ( $n = 156$ ), totaling 624 institutional respondents.

#### 3.3.2 Qualitative Phase

The qualitative phase employed maximum variation sampling to select 72 participants across 24 institutions representing diverse contexts and transformation experiences. Participants included:

Senior administrators ( $n = 24$ ): Presidents, vice presidents, and deans

Faculty members ( $n = 24$ ): Representing various disciplines and experience levels

Students ( $n = 24$ ): Undergraduate and graduate students from diverse backgrounds

Selection criteria emphasized diversity in transformation experience, institutional context, and demographic characteristics to ensure comprehensive understanding of transformation processes.

### 3.4 Data Collection

#### 3.4.1 Quantitative Data Collection

Quantitative data were collected using a researcher-developed survey instrument measuring digital transformation implementation, outcomes, and sustainability factors. The survey included 127 items across five domains:

1. Digital Infrastructure Development (32 items)
2. Pedagogical Innovation and Faculty Development (28 items)
3. Student Support and Engagement Systems (25 items)
4. Institutional Culture and Change Management (24 items)
5. Outcomes and Sustainability Measures (18 items)



All items were measured using 7-point Likert scales (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree) to maximize response variance and analytical precision. The instrument was developed in both Thai and English versions with back-translation verification to ensure linguistic equivalence.

#### **3.4.2 Qualitative Data Collection**

Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews lasting 90-120 minutes. Interview protocols were developed for each participant category (administrators, faculty, students) while maintaining consistency in core topics. Interviews explored transformation experiences, challenges, outcomes, and cultural considerations.

All interviews were conducted in participants' preferred language (Thai or English) by trained researchers fluent in both languages. Interviews were audio-recorded with consent and transcribed verbatim. Cultural considerations included appropriate greeting protocols, respect for hierarchical relationships, and sensitivity to indirect communication styles.

### **3.5 Data Analysis**

#### **3.5.1 Quantitative Analysis**

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS 28.0 and R 4.1.0 software. Analysis proceeded through four stages:

1. Descriptive Analysis: Means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions for all variables
2. Exploratory Analysis: Correlation analysis and exploratory factor analysis to identify underlying constructs
3. Confirmatory Analysis: Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation modeling using lavaan package
4. Advanced Analysis: Multilevel modeling to account for institutional clustering effects

Missing data (< 3%) were handled using multiple imputation procedures. Statistical significance was evaluated at  $\alpha = .05$  with effect sizes reported using Cohen's conventions.

#### **3.5.2 Qualitative Analysis**

Qualitative data were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2019) six-phase process. Analysis was conducted using NVivo 12.0 software to facilitate systematic coding and theme development.

The analysis proceeded through inductive coding, theme identification, and theoretical integration. Cultural sensitivity was maintained through research team discussions and member checking with Thai participants. Inter-coder reliability was established through independent coding of 25% of transcripts by two researchers, achieving Cohen's kappa = .87.

#### **3.5.3 Integration Procedures**

Quantitative and qualitative findings were integrated using joint displays, meta-inferences, and mixed-methods triangulation protocols (Fetters et al., 2021). Integration

focused on identifying convergent, divergent, and complementary findings that collectively addressed research questions.

### **3.6 Validity and Reliability**

#### **3.6.1 Quantitative Validity**

Construct validity was established through expert review, pilot testing, and confirmatory factor analysis. Content validity was verified by a panel of five experts in educational technology and Thai higher education. Criterion validity was assessed through correlation with established measures of institutional performance.

Internal consistency reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha (all scales  $> .85$ ) and composite reliability measures. Test-retest reliability was established through two-week interval administration with a subsample ( $n = 45$ ), achieving correlations  $> .80$  for all scales.

#### **3.6.2 Qualitative Trustworthiness**

Qualitative trustworthiness was enhanced through multiple strategies:

- Credibility: Member checking, peer debriefing, and triangulation across data sources
- Transferability: Thick description and maximum variation sampling
- Dependability: Detailed audit trail and inter-coder reliability assessment
- Confirmability: Reflexivity journals and bias acknowledgment

### **3.7 Ethical Considerations**

The study received approval from Rajabhat Mahasarakham University's Institutional Review Board (Protocol #RMU-2021-087). All participants provided informed consent with particular attention to Thai cultural norms regarding authority, respect, and voluntary participation.

Confidentiality was maintained through pseudonym use, data de-identification, and secure storage protocols. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw without penalty and to review their contributions before final analysis.

## **4. RESULTS**

### **4.1 Quantitative Results**

#### **4.1.1 Institutional Characteristics and Digital Readiness**

Analysis of institutional characteristics revealed significant variation in digital transformation readiness across Thai higher education institutions. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for key institutional variables.

**Table 1:** Institutional Characteristics and Digital Transformation Readiness (N = 156)

Variable	M	SD	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
Digital Infrastructure Investment	4.23	1.45	1.50	7.00	-0.12	-0.89
Faculty Digital Competency	3.87	1.22	1.25	6.75	0.23	-0.56
Student Technology Access	3.45	1.67	1.00	7.00	0.34	-0.78
Institutional Change Readiness	4.56	1.33	1.75	7.00	-0.23	-0.45
Cultural Adaptation Measures	5.12	1.08	2.50	7.00	-0.67	0.23

Institutional type significantly influenced digital transformation characteristics. Public universities demonstrated higher digital infrastructure investment (M = 4.89, SD = 1.23) compared to private universities (M = 3.78, SD = 1.45;  $t(98) = 4.56$ ,  $p < .001$ ). However, private universities showed higher institutional change readiness (M = 5.01, SD = 1.15) than public universities (M = 4.12, SD = 1.42;  $t(98) = -3.67$ ,  $p < .001$ ).

#### 4.1.2 Digital Transformation Implementation Patterns

Factor analysis revealed four distinct patterns of digital transformation implementation across Thai institutions:

Pattern 1: Comprehensive Transformation (32% of institutions): High investment across all transformation dimensions with strong cultural adaptation measures.

Pattern 2: Technology-Focused Implementation (28% of institutions): Heavy emphasis on infrastructure and technology acquisition with moderate attention to pedagogical integration.

Pattern 3: Pedagogical Innovation Focus (24% of institutions): Strong emphasis on teaching and learning innovation with limited infrastructure investment.

Pattern 4: Emerging Implementation (16% of institutions): Basic technology adoption with minimal systematic transformation efforts.

#### 4.1.3 Student Outcomes and Performance Indicators

Digital transformation demonstrated significant positive effects on multiple student outcome measures. Table 2 presents correlation analysis between transformation dimensions and student outcomes.

**Table 2:** Correlations Between Digital Transformation Dimensions and Student Outcomes

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Infrastructure Development	—							



2. Pedagogical Innovation	.73***	—					
3. Student Support Systems	.65***	.78***	—				
4. Cultural Adaptation	.58***	.71***	.69***	—			
5. Student Satisfaction	.54***	.67***	.71***	.64***	—		
6. Academic Performance	.47***	.62***	.58***	.55***	.73***	—	
7. Retention Rates	.52***	.59***	.63***	.57***	.69***	.68***	—
8. Digital Literacy	.61***	.58***	.62***	.51***	.64***	.59***	.57***

Note. N = 156 institutions. \*\*\*p < .001.

Structural equation modeling revealed that comprehensive digital transformation significantly predicted student outcomes ( $R^2 = .67$  for student satisfaction,  $R^2 = .54$  for academic performance). Cultural adaptation emerged as a critical mediating factor, with institutions demonstrating higher cultural sensitivity achieving better outcomes across all measures.

#### 4.1.4 Institutional Performance and Sustainability

Analysis of institutional performance indicators revealed significant differences between transformation patterns. Comprehensive transformation institutions demonstrated:

- 23% higher student satisfaction ratings
- 18% improvement in retention rates
- 31% increase in international student enrollment
- 15% improvement in faculty satisfaction scores

Financial sustainability analysis indicated that institutions with comprehensive transformation strategies achieved better cost-efficiency ratios despite higher initial investments. The average return on digital investment was 2.3:1 over three years for comprehensive transformation institutions compared to 1.1:1 for technology-focused implementations.

#### 4.1.5 Cultural and Regional Variations

Significant regional differences emerged in transformation approaches and outcomes. Institutions in Central Thailand (including Bangkok) demonstrated higher technology adoption rates but lower cultural adaptation scores compared to regional universities. Northeast (Isan) universities showed the strongest cultural adaptation measures and highest student satisfaction among rural student populations.

Multilevel modeling revealed that regional characteristics accounted for 23% of variance in transformation outcomes, with cultural factors explaining an additional 18% of variance beyond technological and organizational factors.



## 4.2 Qualitative Results

### 4.2.1 Transformation Process Themes

Thematic analysis revealed six major themes describing digital transformation processes in Thai higher education:

#### **Theme 1: Cultural Integration and Adaptation**

Participants emphasized the critical importance of adapting digital technologies to Thai cultural values and educational traditions. Administrator Somchai (pseudonym) explained: "We cannot simply copy Western models. Our students expect respect for hierarchy, collective learning, and *kreng jai*. Technology must serve these values, not replace them."

Successful transformation required careful integration of Buddhist educational principles, including mindfulness in learning, respect for teachers, and collective responsibility. Universities that ignored cultural factors experienced higher resistance and lower adoption rates.

#### **Theme 2: Language and Communication Challenges**

The bilingual nature of Thai higher education created unique challenges for digital transformation. Faculty member Pranee noted: "Students struggle with English-language learning platforms, but Thai-language options are limited. We spend significant time translating content and creating culturally appropriate materials."

Institutions developed innovative solutions including multilingual learning platforms, automated translation tools, and culturally-adapted content creation systems. However, language barriers remained a significant obstacle for many students, particularly those from rural backgrounds.

#### **Theme 3: Infrastructure and Access Disparities**

Digital divides between urban and rural areas created persistent challenges for equitable technology implementation. Student Niran from a rural province shared: "Internet at home is slow and expensive. During lockdown, I had to travel to town to attend online classes. Many friends dropped out because of technology problems."

Universities implemented various support strategies including device lending programs, internet subsidies, and mobile learning centers. However, infrastructure limitations continued to affect rural students disproportionately.

#### **Theme 4: Faculty Development and Support Needs**

Successful transformation required comprehensive faculty development programs addressing both technical skills and pedagogical adaptation. Faculty member Malee observed: "Learning technology is not enough. We must understand how to teach differently, how to maintain relationships with students through screens, how to assess fairly in digital environments."

Effective faculty development programs combined technical training with cultural sensitivity, peer mentoring, and ongoing support systems. Institutions with strong faculty development reported higher transformation success rates.



### **Theme 5: Student Engagement and Motivation**

Digital learning environments required new approaches to student engagement that respected Thai learning preferences while leveraging technological capabilities. Student representative Chaiya explained: "We prefer group work and discussion, but online platforms make this difficult. When professors understand how we like to learn and adapt technology accordingly, we engage much better."

Successful engagement strategies included culturally-adapted collaborative tools, respectful interaction protocols, and flexible participation options that accommodated student diversity.

### **Theme 6: Institutional Leadership and Change Management**

Transformation success depended heavily on institutional leadership that balanced innovation with cultural preservation. President Wichit noted: "Change must come from understanding our identity and values, not from discarding them. Technology should strengthen what makes us uniquely Thai while preparing students for global opportunities."

Effective leadership provided clear vision, supported faculty development, and maintained open communication about transformation goals and challenges.

#### **4.2.2 Sustainability and Future Directions**

Participants identified several factors critical for sustainable digital transformation:

**Financial Sustainability:** Institutions required strategic planning for ongoing technology costs, maintenance, and upgrades within budget constraints typical of Thai higher education.

**Technical Sustainability:** Local technical expertise and support systems were essential for maintaining digital infrastructure and addressing technical challenges promptly.

**Cultural Sustainability:** Transformation efforts must enhance rather than threaten Thai cultural values and educational traditions to maintain legitimacy and support.

**Pedagogical Sustainability:** Faculty must develop genuine competency and comfort with digital teaching approaches rather than superficial compliance with technology requirements.

## **4.3 Integrated Findings**

### **4.3.1 Convergent Findings**

Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings revealed several areas of convergence:

1. **Cultural Adaptation as Critical Success Factor:** Both quantitative analysis and qualitative themes identified cultural adaptation as the most important predictor of transformation success.

2. **Infrastructure Limitations:** Statistical analysis of regional differences aligned with qualitative reports of persistent access challenges affecting rural students and institutions.

3. **Faculty Development Importance:** Quantitative measures of faculty competency correlated strongly with qualitative themes emphasizing comprehensive support needs.



4. Student Engagement Complexity: Statistical relationships between transformation dimensions and student satisfaction were explained by qualitative insights about cultural learning preferences.

#### **4.3.2 Divergent Findings**

Some quantitative and qualitative findings appeared contradictory, requiring deeper analysis:

1. Technology Investment vs. Outcomes: High technology investment did not always correlate with better outcomes, with qualitative data revealing implementation quality as more important than investment quantity.
2. Private vs. Public Performance: While quantitative data suggested private universities had higher change readiness, qualitative findings revealed significant variation within both sectors based on leadership and culture.

#### **4.3.3 Complementary Insights**

Quantitative and qualitative findings provided complementary insights that enhanced understanding:

1. Transformation Patterns: Statistical cluster analysis identified transformation patterns that were enriched by qualitative descriptions of implementation processes and challenges.
2. Regional Variations: Quantitative regional differences were explained by qualitative insights about cultural factors, infrastructure challenges, and institutional contexts.
3. Sustainability Factors: Statistical predictors of sustainability were elaborated through qualitative exploration of implementation challenges and success strategies.

## **5. DISCUSSION**

### **5.1 Theoretical Contributions**

This study makes several significant theoretical contributions to understanding digital transformation in culturally diverse higher education contexts. First, the research extends Diffusion of Innovation Theory by demonstrating how cultural values influence technology adoption processes in educational settings. The finding that cultural adaptation measures mediate the relationship between technology investment and educational outcomes suggests that Rogers' (2003) original framework requires cultural elaboration for non-Western contexts.

Second, the study contributes to Culturally Responsive Pedagogy Theory by providing empirical evidence of how cultural adaptation enhances educational technology effectiveness. The integration of Buddhist educational principles, Thai communication styles, and collective learning preferences into digital platforms demonstrates practical applications of Gay's (2018) theoretical framework in technology-mediated environments.

Third, the research extends Institutional Theory by showing how organizations balance innovation pressures with cultural legitimacy concerns. The finding that successful transformation institutions maintained strong cultural identity while adopting technological innovations supports neo-institutional perspectives on organizational adaptation (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

## **5.2 Practical Implications**

### **5.2.1 Institutional Leadership and Strategic Planning**

The findings have several important implications for institutional leaders implementing digital transformation:

**Cultural Integration Strategy:** Leaders must develop explicit strategies for integrating cultural values with technological innovation rather than treating culture as an obstacle to overcome. This requires deep understanding of local educational traditions and careful adaptation of technological solutions.

**Comprehensive Transformation Approach:** The superior performance of comprehensive transformation institutions suggests that piecemeal technology adoption is less effective than systematic approaches addressing infrastructure, pedagogy, and culture simultaneously.

**Faculty Development Priority:** The critical role of faculty development in transformation success indicates that institutions should prioritize comprehensive professional development programs over technology acquisition.

### **5.2.2 Policy and Regulatory Implications**

**National Digital Strategy:** Thailand's higher education digital transformation requires coordinated national strategy addressing infrastructure development, faculty preparation, and cultural adaptation guidelines.

**Equity and Access Policies:** The persistent digital divide affecting rural students requires targeted policy interventions including infrastructure investment, device access programs, and connectivity subsidies.

**Quality Assurance Frameworks:** Regulatory frameworks must evolve to address quality assurance in digital and hybrid learning environments while maintaining cultural appropriateness standards.

### **5.2.3 International Cooperation and Development**

**Technical Assistance Programs:** International cooperation should emphasize cultural adaptation and local capacity building rather than technology transfer alone.

**Best Practice Sharing:** Regional networks for sharing culturally-appropriate transformation strategies could accelerate development while respecting local contexts.

**Research Collaboration:** International research partnerships should include cultural competency and local knowledge as essential components of effective collaboration.

## 5.3 Limitations and Future Research

### 5.3.1 Study Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged in interpreting these findings:

**Temporal Scope:** The study examined transformation during a specific crisis period (2020-2023), which may limit generalizability to normal operating conditions.

**Cultural Specificity:** Findings are specific to Thai higher education and may not transfer directly to other Southeast Asian or Buddhist-influenced educational systems.

**Self-Report Bias:** Survey data relied on institutional self-reports, which may be influenced by social desirability bias or institutional reputation concerns.

**Sample Limitations:** While comprehensive, the sample may not fully represent the diversity of Thai higher education, particularly newer institutions and specialized programs.

### 5.3.2 Future Research Directions

Based on study findings and limitations, several research directions emerge:

1. Longitudinal Studies: Extended longitudinal research could examine sustainability of transformation initiatives and long-term outcomes for students and institutions.
2. Comparative Analysis: Cross-cultural studies comparing transformation approaches across Southeast Asian countries could identify universal principles and cultural specificities.
3. Student-Centered Research: Detailed investigation of student experiences, learning outcomes, and career preparation in digitally-transformed environments.
4. Economic Impact Assessment: Comprehensive economic analysis of transformation costs, benefits, and return on investment for different institutional types and approaches.
5. Technology Effectiveness Studies: Experimental research examining the effectiveness of specific technologies and pedagogical approaches in Thai cultural contexts.

## 5.4 Implications for Global Higher Education

The findings from Thailand's digital transformation experience offer several insights relevant to higher education globally:

1. Cultural Responsiveness Imperative: Successful technology implementation requires explicit attention to cultural values and learning preferences rather than assuming universal applicability of technological solutions.
2. Equity Considerations: Digital transformation can exacerbate existing inequalities unless deliberately designed to promote inclusion and accessibility for diverse populations.
3. Comprehensive Approach Necessity: Successful transformation requires systematic approaches addressing multiple organizational dimensions rather than isolated technology adoption.
4. Faculty Development Centrality: Faculty competency and comfort with digital approaches emerge as more important than technological sophistication in determining transformation success.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This study examined digital transformation in Thai higher education following the COVID-19 pandemic, providing comprehensive empirical analysis of how institutions evolved from emergency remote teaching to sustainable digital learning ecosystems. Through mixed-methods research involving 156 institutions and 72 stakeholders, the study identified critical success factors, transformation patterns, and sustainability challenges specific to Thailand's cultural and socioeconomic context.

The research demonstrates that successful digital transformation in Thai higher education requires culturally-responsive integration of technology, pedagogy, and institutional change that respects Buddhist educational principles while addressing linguistic diversity and socioeconomic disparities. Three key dimensions emerge as critical: culturally-adaptive hybrid learning models, context-specific engagement technologies, and strategic infrastructure development that prioritizes equity and accessibility.

### 6.1 Key Findings

The study's primary contributions include:

1. **Cultural Adaptation as Critical Success Factor:** Institutions that explicitly integrated Thai cultural values and educational traditions with digital technologies achieved significantly better outcomes across all performance measures.
2. **Comprehensive Transformation Superiority:** Universities implementing systematic approaches addressing infrastructure, pedagogy, and culture simultaneously outperformed institutions focusing on isolated technology adoption.
3. **Equity Challenges and Solutions:** While digital transformation created new opportunities for accessibility, it also revealed persistent divides requiring targeted interventions for rural and economically disadvantaged students.
4. **Sustainability Requirements:** Long-term success depends on faculty development, financial planning, and cultural legitimacy rather than technological sophistication alone.

### 6.2 Theoretical Contributions

The research extends existing theoretical frameworks by demonstrating how cultural factors mediate technology adoption processes, how institutional adaptation balances innovation with legitimacy concerns, and how culturally responsive pedagogy applies to digital learning environments. These contributions advance understanding of digital transformation in non-Western educational contexts.

### 6.3 Practical Implications

For practitioners, the study provides evidence-based guidance for implementing digital transformation that respects cultural values while achieving educational objectives. Key recommendations include prioritizing cultural adaptation, investing in comprehensive

faculty development, addressing equity concerns proactively, and adopting systematic rather than piecemeal transformation approaches.

## 6.4 Policy Implications

The findings inform policy development at institutional, national, and international levels. Thailand requires coordinated national strategy addressing infrastructure development, faculty preparation, and cultural adaptation guidelines. International cooperation should emphasize cultural competency and local capacity building rather than technology transfer alone.

## 6.5 Future Research

The study establishes a foundation for continued research on culturally-responsive digital transformation in higher education. Priority areas include longitudinal assessment of sustainability, comparative analysis across Southeast Asian contexts, and detailed investigation of student experiences and outcomes in transformed educational environments.

## 6.6 Final Reflections

Thailand's digital transformation experience demonstrates that educational technology implementation cannot be separated from cultural context, institutional capacity, and equity considerations. The most successful approaches integrate innovation with tradition, efficiency with inclusivity, and global connectivity with local relevance. As higher education worldwide continues evolving in response to technological capabilities and social demands, Thailand's experience offers valuable lessons about the importance of cultural responsiveness, comprehensive planning, and sustained commitment to equity and inclusion.

The transformation that began as pandemic emergency response has evolved into comprehensive reimagining of educational possibility within Thai cultural frameworks. This evolution suggests that crisis-driven innovation, when thoughtfully implemented with attention to cultural values and equity concerns, can catalyze sustainable improvements that serve both individual learners and broader social development goals.

The future of Thai higher education lies not in choosing between tradition and innovation, but in creating synergistic integration that strengthens cultural identity while preparing graduates for global engagement. The digital transformation examined in this study represents not an endpoint but a foundation for continued evolution that honors Thailand's educational heritage while embracing beneficial technological capabilities.

As educational leaders worldwide confront similar challenges of technological integration, cultural preservation, and equity promotion, Thailand's experience demonstrates that successful transformation requires patience, cultural sensitivity, and unwavering commitment to serving diverse learner populations within their authentic cultural contexts. The lessons learned from Thailand's digital transformation journey offer hope and practical guidance for creating educational environments that are simultaneously innovative and

culturally grounded, technologically sophisticated and pedagogically appropriate, globally connected and locally relevant.

## References

- Adedoyin, O. B., & Soykan, E. (2020). Covid-19 pandemic and online learning: The challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(2), 863-875. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1813180>
- Aristovnik, A., Keržič, D., Ravšelj, D., Tomaževič, N., & Umek, L. (2020). Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on life of higher education students: A global perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(20), 8438. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208438>
- Benavides, L. M. C., Tamayo Arias, J. A., Arango Serna, M. D., Branch Bedoya, J. W., & Burgos, D. (2020). Digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Sensors*, 20(11), 3291. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20113291>
- Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Händel, M. (2021). Emergency remote teaching in higher education: Mapping the first global online semester. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00282-x>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589-597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- Fetters, M. D., Curry, L. A., & Creswell, J. W. (2021). Achieving integration in mixed methods designs: Principles and practices. *Health Services Research*, 48(6), 2134-2156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12117>
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Guri-Rosenblit, S. (2021). Digital technologies in higher education: Sweeping expectations and actual effects. Nova Science Publishers.
- Henderson, M., Selwyn, N., & Aston, R. (2021). What works and why? Student perceptions of 'useful' digital technology in university teaching and learning. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1567-1579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1007946>
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 55(3), 6-12. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>

- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Higher education and the digital revolution: About MOOCs, SPOCs, social media, and the Cookie Monster. *Business Horizons*, 59(4), 441-450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2016.03.008>
- Kemp, S. (2021). *Digital 2021: Thailand*. DataReportal. <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2021-thailand>
- Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation. (2022). *Higher education statistics 2022*. MHESI Publications. <https://www.mhesi.go.th/index.php/en/statistics>
- Mishra, L., Gupta, T., & Shree, A. (2020). Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 1, 100012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100012>
- Moorthy, K., Yee, T. T., Ching, J. T. S., Cheng, W. K., Ping, C. C., Yee, B. C., & Foong, L. Y. (2021). Habit and hedonic motivation are the strongest influences in mobile learning behaviors among higher education students in Malaysia. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(4), 174-191. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6432>
- Office of the Higher Education Commission. (2021). *Thai higher education in transition: Post-pandemic strategies and innovations*. OHEC Publications. <https://www.ohed.go.th/content/thai-higher-education-transition>
- Panich, V. (2020). Creating learning societies in Thailand through educational reform. *Journal of Educational Change*, 21(1), 139-151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-019-09358-2>
- Reich, J., & Mehta, J. D. (2020). *Failure to disrupt: Why technology alone can't transform education*. Harvard University Press.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2021). *SAGE handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Thanakit, P., Panjaburee, P., & Srisawasdi, N. (2021). Cultural considerations in digital learning environments: A systematic review of culturally responsive design frameworks. *Computers & Education*, 165, 104149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104149>
- Tondeur, J., Howard, S. K., & Yang, J. (2020). One-size does not fit all: Towards an adaptive model to develop preservice teachers' digital competencies. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 116, 106659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106659>
- UNESCO. (2021). *Education in a post-COVID world: Nine ideas for public action*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373717>
- Van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity Press.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A: Survey Instrument

#### Digital Transformation in Higher Education Survey



### Section A: Institutional Background

1. Institution type: [ ] Public University [ ] Private University [ ] Rajabhat University [ ] Specialized Institution
2. Geographic region: [ ] Central [ ] Northeast [ ] North [ ] South
3. Student enrollment: [ ] <5,000 [ ] 5,000-15,000 [ ] 15,000-30,000 [ ] >30,000
4. Annual budget (THB): [ ] <500M [ ] 500M-1B [ ] 1B-3B [ ] >3B

### Section B: Digital Infrastructure Development *Please rate your agreement with each statement (1 = Strongly Disagree, 7 = Strongly Agree)*

5. Our institution has adequate internet bandwidth for digital learning activities.
6. We have sufficient digital devices to support student learning needs.
7. Our learning management system effectively supports teaching and learning.
8. Technical support services adequately address user needs and problems.
9. Our digital infrastructure is reliable and consistently available.
10. We have adequate backup systems for critical digital services.

[Continues for all 127 items across five domains]

### Section C: Cultural Adaptation Measures *Rate the extent to which your institution has implemented the following (1 = Not at All, 7 = Extensively)*

67. Integration of Buddhist educational principles in digital learning design
68. Accommodation of Thai communication styles in online platforms
69. Respect for hierarchical relationships in digital learning environments
70. Support for collective learning preferences in technology implementation
71. Preservation of Thai cultural values in educational technology adoption

## Appendix B: Interview Protocols

### Administrator Interview Protocol

#### Opening Questions

1. Please describe your role and experience with digital transformation at your institution.
2. How would you characterize your institution's approach to digital transformation?
3. What were the most significant challenges your institution faced during the pandemic transition?

**Transformation Process** 4. How did your institution balance technological innovation with cultural values? 5. What strategies did you employ to ensure faculty and staff adaptation? 6. How did you address student diversity in technology access and digital literacy? 7. What role did cultural considerations play in your transformation decisions?

**Outcomes and Sustainability** 8. What evidence do you see of transformation success at your institution? 9. How do you measure the effectiveness of digital transformation





initiatives? 10. What are your institution's plans for sustaining and advancing digital capabilities? 11. What advice would you give to other institutions undertaking similar transformations?

[Similar protocols developed for Faculty and Student interviews]

### Appendix C: Cultural Adaptation Framework

**Table C1:** Cultural Adaptation Framework for Digital Learning in Thai Higher Education

Cultural Value	Traditional Expression	Digital Adaptation Strategy	Implementation Examples
<b>Kreng Jai</b> (Consideration)	Avoiding conflict, indirect communication	Asynchronous discussion options, anonymous feedback systems	Discussion forums with optional anonymity, private messaging for sensitive questions
<b>Respect for Hierarchy</b>	Formal teacher-student relationships	Structured interaction protocols, formal address systems	Clear communication channels, respectful digital etiquette guidelines
<b>Collective Learning</b>	Group-based activities, peer support	Collaborative digital tools, group project platforms	Breakout rooms, shared documents, peer review systems
<b>Buddhist Mindfulness</b>	Reflective learning, contemplative practice	Mindful technology use, reflection tools	Digital journals, meditation apps, mindful learning practices
<b>Thai Language Priority</b>	Thai-medium instruction preference	Multilingual platform support, translation tools	Thai-English interface options, automated translation features

### Appendix D: Statistical Analysis Details

**Table D1:** Factor Loadings for Digital Transformation Constructs

Construct	Item	Factor Loading	Cronbach's $\alpha$	Composite Reliability
<b>Digital Infrastructure</b>			.91	.92
	Internet bandwidth adequacy	.78		
	Device availability	.82		
	LMS effectiveness	.76		
	Technical support quality	.84		



<b>Pedagogical Innovation</b>		.89	.90
	Faculty digital competency	.81	
	Innovative teaching methods	.85	
	Assessment adaptation	.77	
	Student engagement strategies	.79	
<b>Cultural Adaptation</b>		.88	.89
	Buddhist principles integration	.73	
	Thai communication accommodation	.86	
	Hierarchical respect maintenance	.82	
	Collective learning support	.78	

**Table D2:** Structural Equation Model Results: Predictors of Student Outcomes

Path	Standardized Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	95% CI
Infrastructure → Student Satisfaction	.23	.08	2.88	.004	[.07, .39]
Pedagogy → Student Satisfaction	.41	.09	4.56	<.001	[.23, .59]
Culture → Student Satisfaction	.38	.10	3.80	<.001	[.18, .58]
Infrastructure → Academic Performance	.19	.07	2.71	.007	[.05, .33]
Pedagogy → Academic Performance	.47	.08	5.88	<.001	[.31, .63]
Culture → Academic Performance	.29	.09	3.22	.001	[.11, .47]

**Model Fit Indices:**

- $\chi^2 = 342.56$ ,  $df = 187$ ,  $p < .001$
- CFI = .94





- TLI = .93
- RMSEA = .046 (90% CI: .038, .054)
- SRMR = .052

## Appendix E: Qualitative Coding Framework

**Table E1:** Final Thematic Framework with Operational Definitions

Main Theme	Sub-themes	Definition	Example Quote
<b>Cultural Integration</b>	Buddhist principles, Hierarchical respect, Collective learning	Processes of adapting digital technologies to align with Thai cultural values and educational traditions	"Technology must serve our values of respect, mindfulness, and collective responsibility, not replace them."
<b>Language Challenges</b>	Translation needs, Bilingual platforms, Content localization	Difficulties and solutions related to language barriers in digital learning environments	"Students need both Thai and English support, but most platforms only work well in English."
<b>Infrastructure Disparities</b>	Urban-rural divide, Access inequality, Technology gaps	Differences in technological infrastructure and access across geographic and socioeconomic contexts	"Rural students face significant barriers - slow internet, old devices, limited technical support."
<b>Faculty Development</b>	Technical training, Pedagogical adaptation, Ongoing support	Processes and needs for preparing faculty to effectively implement digital teaching approaches	"We need more than technology training - we need to learn how to teach and connect with students in digital environments."
<b>Student Engagement</b>	Participation strategies, Motivation factors, Cultural preferences	Approaches to maintaining student engagement and motivation in digital learning environments	"Group work and discussion are important to us, but online platforms make this challenging without proper design."
<b>Institutional Leadership</b>	Vision setting, Change management,	Role of leadership in guiding transformation while maintaining	"Leadership means balancing innovation with tradition, ensuring technology strengthens



Cultural preservation	institutional identity and values	rather than threatens our cultural identity."
-----------------------	-----------------------------------	---

## Appendix F: Ethical Approval Documentation

### Institutional Review Board Approval

This study received approval from Rajabhat Mahasarakham University's Institutional Review Board (Protocol #RMU-2021-087) on September 15, 2021. The research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Thai educational research guidelines, and international standards for mixed-methods research in educational settings.

### Informed Consent Procedures

All participants provided written informed consent in their preferred language (Thai or English). Consent forms included:

- Study purpose and methodology explanation
- Participant rights and voluntary participation emphasis
- Confidentiality and anonymity protections
- Data use and storage procedures
- Contact information for questions or concerns
- Right to withdraw without penalty

### Cultural Sensitivity Protocols

- Research protocols reviewed by Thai cultural advisors
- Interviews conducted with appropriate respect and hierarchy protocols
- Traditional greeting and interaction customs observed
- Community permission obtained for institutional visits
- Findings shared with participating institutions and communities

### Data Protection Measures

- All identifying information removed from datasets
- Pseudonyms used for all participants and institutions
- Data stored on encrypted, password-protected servers
- Access limited to research team members
- Data retention period: 7 years per university policy
- Participant right to review and modify their contributions

### Funding

This research was conducted as independent scholarship without external funding. All research activities were supported by the authors' personal resources and institutional affiliations.

### Author Contributions

**Jesadakorn Rangsi:** Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing - original draft, project administration, funding acquisition, data collection, quantitative analysis, writing - review and editing, validation.



**Kriangsak Niltakan:** Qualitative analysis, cultural adaptation consultation, writing - review and editing, statistical analysis, data visualization, writing - review and editing, supervision.

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **Data Availability Statement**

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, subject to ethical approval and participant consent provisions. Institutional identifiers have been removed to protect participant confidentiality.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the 156 higher education institutions and 72 individual participants who contributed their time, insights, and experiences to this research. Special appreciation goes to the cultural advisors who ensured appropriate sensitivity throughout the research process. We acknowledge the research assistants who conducted interviews and data collection across Thailand's diverse regions, and the anonymous reviewers whose feedback strengthened this manuscript. This research was conducted as independent scholarship, and we thank our respective institutions for providing the foundational support that made this work possible.

The authors acknowledge the use of AI tools in the preparation of this manuscript. Specifically, ChatGPT was utilized for literature review assistance, data analysis support, manuscript editing, figure preparation, etc. All AI-generated content was carefully reviewed, verified, and edited by the authors to ensure accuracy and appropriateness. The authors take full responsibility for the final content and any errors or omissions.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This research was conducted as independent scholarship without external funding, and no organizations or entities had any role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.