Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Academic Paper

From Socrates to Science: The Development and Pedagogical Foundations of Inquiry-Based Learning

Phrapalad Wasan Ketngam

Mahamakut Buddhist University, Isan Campus, Khon Kaen, Thailand
✉: Panjitr.Su@hotmail.com
(Corresponding Email)

Received: 11 March 2025; Revised: 30 March 2025; Accepted: 10 April 2025
© The Author(s) 2026

Abstract:

Background: Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) represents one of education's longest-standing pedagogical traditions, tracing its origins to Socratic questioning in ancient Greece and evolving through constructivist theory, Dewey's experiential philosophy, and the integration of scientific method into modern classroom practice. Despite its philosophical depth and growing empirical support, a unified account of IBL's historical trajectory, theoretical foundations, and contemporary applications — particularly in relation to emerging digital pedagogies — remains valuable for educators and researchers.

Purpose: This study examines the historical development and pedagogical foundations of Inquiry-Based Learning, tracing its progression from Socratic dialogue through scientific inquiry to current constructivist and technology-enhanced frameworks, analyzing the theoretical principles that underpin IBL, evaluating its practical applications across diverse educational disciplines, and identifying the challenges and enablers shaping its implementation in contemporary classroom settings.

Methods: The study employs systematic literature analysis integrating historical review of IBL's philosophical and scientific foundations, theoretical examination of constructivism, social learning theory, dialogic pedagogy, and networked learning frameworks, alongside comparative analysis of case studies spanning medical education, early childhood philosophy programs, science classrooms, and STEM settings, and evaluation of technology integration including TPACK, Generative Artificial Intelligence, and digital collaboration tools.

Results: Findings demonstrate that IBL evolved from Socratic dialogic questioning through Dewey's experiential learning principles into contemporary constructivist frameworks supported by Vygotskian and Bakhtinian social theories. Across disciplines — including biochemistry, philosophy for children, geography, and nursing education — IBL consistently enhances critical thinking, student motivation, intrinsic engagement, and long-term academic achievement relative to traditional instruction. Technology, particularly TPACK frameworks and simulation-based platforms, substantially extends IBL's reach and effectiveness. However, persistent barriers include entrenched rote-memorization cultures, inadequate teacher preparation for facilitating open inquiry, assessment instruments misaligned with IBL's process-oriented outcomes, unequal access to digital resources, and ethical and systemic challenges introduced by Generative AI.

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Conclusions: Inquiry-Based Learning remains a foundational and adaptable pedagogical approach for cultivating critical thinkers prepared for 21st-century complexity. Its continued effectiveness depends on sustained professional development equipping educators with IBL facilitation skills, reform of assessment practices to capture dialogic and investigative competencies, and thoughtful integration of emerging technologies within ethical and equitable policy frameworks. Future research should address longitudinal outcomes of IBL, its cross-disciplinary applications, and the balance between structured inquiry and student-driven exploration in technology-rich learning environments.

Keywords: Inquiry-Based Learning, Socratic Method, Constructivist Education, Scientific Inquiry, Critical Thinking

1. Introduction

Over time, educational theory has increasingly embraced inquiry-based learning—a pedagogical approach rooted in Socratic traditions and developed into the active learning methodologies prevalent in contemporary classrooms. This shift marks a departure from passive absorption of information toward more participatory forms of education that emphasize exploration, critical thinking, and dialogic engagement. In the context of 21st-century education, teachers are progressively adopting frameworks such as Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), which integrates technology with content and pedagogy to create more effective and contextually relevant learning experiences, particularly in science education settings (Teknowijoyo et al., 2024). By embedding technology within instructional practices, educators are able to design meaningful, and often collaborative, learning environments that address diverse student needs. Furthermore, attention to the emotional dimensions of learning—such as monitoring student engagement and affective responses during inquiry-based activities—has been shown to enhance both motivation and participation (Ezquerra et al., 2022). Collectively, these developments contribute to a more dynamic, student-centered educational landscape in which learning becomes a more interactive, responsive, and life-enriching process.

Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) represents a fundamental shift from traditional, teacher-centered instruction to a learner-driven approach in which students actively participate in posing questions and seeking answers—an approach reminiscent of the Socratic method of dialogic exploration. This pedagogy encourages learners to formulate their own inquiries and construct knowledge through investigation, thereby strengthening critical thinking and real-world problem-solving abilities. When integrated with hands-on, place-based learning, IBL further enriches the educational experience by grounding abstract concepts in local contexts. This not only enhances practical understanding but also fosters a deeper connection to one's community and a more developed sense of personal identity. Moreover, reconsidering the conventional stance of neutrality in classroom discussions—and, at times, adopting a more deliberate, values-based position—can significantly deepen the inquiry process. Such an approach promotes cognitive growth and supports the development of ethical awareness and reflective thinking (Thornton et al., 2021).

Education has undergone significant transformation in response to shifting societal values and evolving conceptions of knowledge. Historically, figures such as Socrates laid the groundwork for inquiry-driven learning by encouraging dialogue and challenging assumptions—an approach that emphasized questioning as a foundational element of intellectual development. This shift becomes particularly evident when contrasting the rigid,

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

memorization-focused methods of the past with contemporary pedagogies that prioritize experiential, reflective, and often nonlinear learning processes. Despite ongoing reforms, many educational settings still limit students' opportunities to engage deeply with complex ideas. Nevertheless, the cultivation of critical thinking skills has emerged as an essential competency in the 21st century, particularly in light of the demands of an increasingly interconnected and knowledge-based global society. The importance of fostering such skills in educational systems is widely recognized in discussions surrounding future workforce readiness and civic engagement (Sellars et al., 2018). These days, methods like Project Based Learning show how tackling real-world issues has taken center stage, helping students engage directly with the material with problems that stick better in memory (Efstratia D, 2014). Looking back at these shifts, educators can develop a deep understanding of how past practices and enduring ideas have shaped today's focus on inquiry-driven learning.

Socratic questioning serves as a foundational tool within inquiry-based learning, stimulating not only critical thinking but also fostering authentic self-reflection among students. Teachers often toss out open-ended questions that transform long-held ideas and common mix-ups about science - this approach is not merely about deepening knowledge; it also encourages learners to see how different ideas might unexpectedly link together. In many cases, a well-placed question can jolt students out of their comfort zones and lead to conversations that seem to wander and reconnect in surprising ways. For example, when educators sprinkle strategic questions into a lesson, it helps students unravel their muddled understandings of science, triggering that reflective thinking which - - is essential for intellectual growth (Rene et al., 2007). Also, the shift towards more talk-centric class setups hints at a growing belief that open dialogue can expose even the trickiest of subjects (Gregory et al., 2018). All in all, Socratic questioning builds a lively, sometimes unpredictably engaging learning atmosphere, preparing students to approach scientific inquiry in ways that feel refreshingly human.

Inquiry-based learning has its roots in the ancient Socratic method - back when asking questions was the main way to uncover truths and spark genuine critical thinking. Over time, educators took that core idea and reshaped it so that, in most cases, student choice and real participation came to the forefront, mixing the old with new, sometimes in a pretty unexpected way. Constructivist theories, for instance, argue that we really build our own knowledge by exploring and engaging in inquiry-based exploration; recent critiques even suggest that our curricula should move past plain memorization to embrace a fuller, more nuanced learning experience (Herrán Gascón et al., 2022). Yet, there's a twist here - this evolution can expose some tensions, as current standards tend to narrow the range of skills expected from both teachers and learners, sometimes curbing truly authentic engagement (Magrini et al., 2011). All in all, inquiry-based learning remains a vibrant, ever-evolving force in education, constantly challenging and reshaping what effective learning means.

This study examines the historical and pedagogical development of inquiry-based learning, tracing its evolution from classical Socratic questioning to the structured scientific methodologies employed in contemporary classrooms. The progression of this instructional approach underscores its capacity to cultivate essential critical thinking skills—an increasingly vital competency in navigating the complexity and unpredictability of the modern world. A growing body of research supports the notion that the implementation of scaffolding techniques significantly enhances students' scientific literacy, reinforcing the importance of guided inquiry within educational contexts (Schow et al., 2022). Furthermore, educational leaders, such as school principals, play a pivotal role in fostering critical thinking by utilizing

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

frameworks like the Colorado State Model Evaluation System to identify, support, and promote these competencies within their institutions (Polka et al., 2022). Collectively, these findings affirm the centrality of inquiry-based learning in shaping effective and responsive educational practices in the 21st century.

2. The Socratic Method and Its Influence

The Socratic Method is all about dialogue and questions, and has significantly influenced how teaching happens today. Instead of simply handing over facts, instructors stimulate curiosity, inviting students to dive into ideas rather than just taking things at face value. This shift - from the old recitation-style routines to these more spontaneous, back-and-forth discussions (as recent research shows (Gregory et al., 2018) - is not as neat or predictable as traditional lessons. In most cases, weaving these Socratic ideas into science classes has helped tackle those persistent misconceptions many high schoolers carry. Teachers, often using well-placed questions and a reflective style, gently challenge what students believe, nudging them toward a more layered grasp of scientific ideas and bridging the gap between lofty philosophy and everyday classroom work (Rene et al., 2007). All in all, this evolution proves that the art of questioning still has a solid spot in today's learning environments.

Origins of the Socratic Method

Socrates pushed us to value questioning over mindless memorization, believing that digging into our ideas could unexpectedly reveal deeper truths about life and who we really are. He thought that by simply asking the right questions, we might stumble on insights that change how we view everything - even ourselves. Instead of a boring recitation of facts, his style stimulates lively dialogic exchanges where assumptions get tossed around and new angles pop up. This isn't just a neat philosophical trick; American law schools, for example, still lean on this approach as a core part of their training (Lowell B Bautista, 2014). Contemporary science classrooms often reflect a similar pedagogical orientation, where hands-on inquiry aligns with the foundational principles advocated by educational theorists such as John Dewey and Lev Vygotsky, both of whom emphasized the importance of learning through active engagement and social interaction (Škoda et al., 2013). Despite occasional challenges in implementation, this enduring educational philosophy continues to shape how students engage with knowledge, fostering deeper understanding and encouraging them to critically examine their own thinking. Ultimately, this approach underscores the lasting relevance of experiential learning in cultivating reflective and adaptive learners.

Key principles of Socratic questioning

Socratic questioning drives deep thinking and helps spark fresh insights in classrooms. Instead of following a strict formula, it kicks off lively conversations that encourage learners to reconsider what they take for granted – often revealing those lingering misunderstandings. In most cases, research shows that students cling to broad, stubborn misconceptions which can block a clear grasp of science, so a more reflective, dialogue-based approach grounded in Socratic methods is needed to untangle these issues (Rene et al., 2007). Teachers, drawing on their varied life experiences, weave in personal touches that subtly shift discussions, encouraging student ownership even when engagement isn't always easy (Jedrzejczyk et al., 2022). By favoring open-ended questions and a bit of strategic probing, educators build a space where students not only come up with their own questions but also dive into complex ideas, ultimately deepening their appreciation of the scientific world.

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Role of dialogue in learning

Dialogue matters a lot in learning. It sets the stage for inquiry by letting students share ideas, challenge what they think is true, and build up their critical thinking skills. The old Socratic style still shows up in today's classrooms, where teachers create spaces for real, sometimes even complex and multifaceted, conversations that trigger creativity and self-reflection. One teacher training program (Kizel et al., 2012) highlights the idea that shared responsibility and really listening to others - ideas inspired by Martin Buber and Emanuel Levinas - can shift the whole learning dynamic. Continuous professional development pushes educators to try new dialogue techniques, although in most cases approaches like project-based learning or virtual tours have delivered mixed results (Alikor et al., 2024). Ultimately, open, collaborative discussions have the power to transform learning by drawing everyone into a deeper, more engaging connection with the subject.

Impact on critical thinking skills

Critical thinking sits at the heart of turning classrooms into real interactive spaces rather than just passive fact sponges. Instead of simply soaking up what they're told, students jump into casual discussions where they integrate concepts and even challenge each other - this informal back-and-forth really enhances how they build and question arguments. In most cases, these spirited exchanges get everyone thinking ahead about counterpoints and crafting their own replies, which ends up building a shared sense of responsibility in the room, as (Kuhn D, 2019) points out. Yet, some educational policies tend to box in these debates, cutting down on those genuine moments of evaluation and debate, as (Sellars M et al., 2018) notes. The ripple effect on teaching practices is big; when teachers lean into real, spontaneous group talks, they set up an environment where critical thinking can take root and flourish, grooming students to be informed and confident global citizens. Ultimately, inquiry-based learning comes through as a powerful way to nurture these essential thinking skills - even if the path there isn't always perfectly smooth.

Legacy of Socratic pedagogy in modern education

The old Socratic vibe still shows up in schools today, even if it's not as formal as it once was. A lot of classrooms now lean toward stimulateing dialogic exchanges - just like Socrates used to push his students to ask questions and really critically examine ideas - so students actively engage rather than just memorizing facts. Teachers these days tend to get the conversation rolling instead of merely delivering lectures, which means the focus shifts toward exploring ideas together in a sort of free-wheeling discussion. This move away from one-way teaching to lively, interactive debate (Gregory et al., 2018) makes it clear that, , effective learning happens when students are part of the dialogue rather than passive listeners. And as more students explore deeply STEM, there's growing recognition that brushing up on language and media know-how also builds sharper analytical skills (Griffin et al., 2014). By mixing in these old-school Socratic touches, modern education now helps shape learners who not only take in information but also question and reexamine it, sometimes repeatedly, to truly grasp the deeper meaning.

3. The Transition to Scientific Inquiry

Our quest for understanding changed over time, moving away from those age-old ways - like the ones Socrates first set in motion - and leaning more toward a hands-on, scientific approach. In most cases, that shift really brought attention to relying on actual experiments and observable outcomes to make sense of nature. Thinkers such as Dewey, for example,

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

started arguing that our classrooms should mix in scientific methods with everyday learning; he saw inquiry as part of a broader, more natural way of exploring ideas. Even though Dewey wasn't shy about critiquing the old, classical ways of gathering knowledge, he also believed that letting students learn by exploring and questioning could boost their engagement and enhance critical thinking, especially when traditional methods left some folks behind (Kirby et al., 2014). These new teaching vibes are often most noticeable among marginalized students, who tend to thrive in settings that not only acknowledge their identities but also help them connect in a personal way with knowledge (Azevedo et al., 2008). All in all, this gradual move toward inquiry-based, evidence-driven methods didn't just change academic subjects - it really reshaped how teaching and learning go down in the classroom.

Historical developments in scientific thought

Science hasn't grown in a neat step-by-step manner; it's more like a series of wild shifts that evolve our take on knowledge and insist on checking real-world facts. Think about Socrates - he pushed us to question everything and chat critically - and then there was that stimulate during the Renaissance when people started to trust what they could actually see and test out. Back then, ideas were upended unexpectedly, laying out loose paths to the way we now learn. Later on, in the Enlightenment, the push for reason and a careful approach to gathering evidence really set the stage, making it seem like science is just about a systematic hunt for answers. You can still see those old moves today, especially with tech like Generative Artificial Intelligence popping up, which jumps in to automate learning and mix fields in surprising ways. At the same time, a lot of folks point out that these advances come with ethical puzzles and unequal access issues, suggesting that - if you ask recent research - we need to smartly balance our push for innovation with a sense of social responsibility (Hutson et al., 2024) (Ho et al., 2017).

The role of the scientific method in education

Teachers are mixing the scientific method into classrooms in a way that upends the old style of teaching. Instead of following a strict formula, students now actively engage - exploring ideas, making guesses, conducting empirical investigations and, well, drawing their own conclusions - which generally builds better critical thinking and problem-solving chops for today's learner. Research, in most cases, backs this up; studies (Khalaf BK, 2018) suggest that letting students experiment not only fires up their interest but also helps them remember and use what they learn far better than traditional methods. Educational research keeps pointing out that teachers need to switch up their approach - sometimes even in unexpected ways - so that learning stays centered on the student's experience (Darling L-Hammond, 2016). When educators embrace these practical methods, they create a space that sparks curiosity and, occasionally, even encourages students to take charge of their own learning journey, making the whole process richer and more engaging.

Comparison of inquiry-based learning and traditional methods

Modern education kicks off a clear change when we compare inquiry-based learning with older methods. Traditional classrooms, we remember, mostly had students memorize facts while the teacher stood at the front as the sole source of knowledge. Inquiry-based learning now flips that idea by getting students to dive in, ask questions, and explore ideas together in unexpected ways. This shift – as some recent studies (Gregory et al., 2018) have hinted – isn't just a passing trend, but a move away from one-sided lectures toward more back-and-forth dialogue in class. In most cases, those inquiry methods boost critical thinking and even build classrooms where a mix of voices feels naturally welcome (Sackris et al., 2017).

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

All in all, swapping old rote techniques for a more hands-on, inquiry-driven approach is a big, if sometimes complex and multifaceted, leap forward in how we learn today.

Influence of key figures in science education

Key figures have really pushed science education forward over time - even if it sounds a bit unexpected. Socrates didn't just ask questions; he used them to spark a thinking that's still with us today. In most cases his way of sparking inquiry helped people think more deeply instead of just memorizing facts. Then there's John Dewey, who jumped in with the idea that learning should be hands-on. He believed that education is meant to boost personal growth while also picking up on social responsibilities, showing that school can be about both self-improvement and community progress (Nevin et al., 2021). Lately, researchers are noticing that classroom chatter matters a lot; when students actively engage with the discussion, it reshapes how science is explored and builds a stronger overall learning setup (Gregory et al., 2018).

Integration of scientific inquiry in classroom practices

Integrating scientific inquiry into routine classroom activities provides students with opportunities for hands-on engagement and deeper cognitive processing of subject matter. This approach reflects pedagogical roots dating back to the Socratic tradition, where learning was driven by dialogue and critical questioning. In modern educational contexts, schools increasingly emphasize active learning through real-world experiments and collaborative projects, drawing students into scientific exploration in tangible and meaningful ways. When instructional programs blend creativity with informal, dialogic interaction, they not only enhance scientific understanding but also foster self-reflection and a heightened sense of personal responsibility (Kizel et al., 2012). Additionally, the use of guided questioning—reminiscent of the Socratic method—has been shown to cultivate leadership skills, particularly when integrated with literature-based instruction, demonstrating the interdisciplinary potential of inquiry-based learning (Cal et al., 2017). Collectively, these strategies enrich the classroom environment and better equip students to navigate the complexities of an increasingly interconnected and dynamic world.

4. Modern Pedagogical Foundations of Inquiry-Based Learning

Modern education draws on a long line of ideas about critical thinking and self-directed learning. Its momentum comes from old philosophies that, in most cases, celebrated personal judgement - a notion that first stimulateed during the Protestant Reformation and later evolved with German idealism, stressing how evaluation really matters for academic freedom (Clark W et al., 2009). Inquiry-based learning pushes students to roll up their sleeves, ask tough questions, and explore deeply the content in ways that feel genuine rather than overly polished. If you think about recent critiques, many suggest our teaching methods could use a bit of a remix to boost both inclusivity and the layered complexity of lessons (Herrán Gascón et al., 2022). By leaning into curiosity and the urge to question, teachers can stimulate deeper cognitive skills and even raise learners' self-awareness - tying together old-school ideas with fresh classroom strategies.

Theoretical frameworks supporting inquiry-based learning

Inquiry-based learning frameworks have changed a whole lot over time - really mirroring big social shifts and advances in technology. At the core, most folks now see learning as something social and always shifting, shaped by our culture and the environments we live in. Take networked learning (NL) for example; its arrival threw traditional, stiff classroom methods off balance, making us realize how folks and tech interact in school

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

settings in unexpected ways. This change shows that digital tools can stimulate curiosity while also nudging us toward an education system that values fairness and inclusivity (Gourlay L et al., 2021). Some newer approaches even mix in ideas of vocality and embodied understanding, adding another twist to the discussion by pushing for varied ways of teaching that blend Indigenous and Western insights. By getting people talking and working together, these ideas try to set up spaces where deeper understanding and genuine involvement can really take shape - ultimately, they change what it means to be a teacher or a learner (Magnat V, 2019).

Constructivist approaches in education

Students drive their own learning, building up a personal view of the world that isn't just spoon-fed - this idea actually pays homage to ancient wisdom like that of Socrates. They jump right into discussions and group projects instead of simply absorbing facts, often repeating and tweaking ideas along the way. Classrooms now blend solo work with team evaluations that tap into each student's unique background, which, in most cases, enhances their ability to think critically and break down tough concepts. Lately, higher education has been mixing in fresh assessment styles and a variety of learning methods - as recent studies (Conrad D et al., 2018) have noted - even if the transition isn't perfectly smooth every time. In the end, this hands-on approach not only builds up knowledge but also stimulates creativity and social skills, setting learners up to adapt and succeed in a world that's always changing (Magnat V, 2019).

Role of technology in facilitating inquiry

Technology's rise in classrooms has really shaken up the way we approach learning by making inquiry-based methods more accessible and engaging. Digital resources – think online libraries, hands-on simulations, and spaces where students can work together – let learners ask questions, dig into issues, and even chat about what they discover with classmates. Research generally shows that when teachers keep up with professional development, their classroom practices shift for the better (Alikor et al., 2024). Nowadays, educational programs often point out that real dialogue is key, with technology acting as a sort of bridge between students and instructors. Drawing on ideas from thinkers like Martin Buber and Emanuel Levinas, who both stress that learning is all about human connections as much as facts, educators can use tech not just to convey information but also to nurture a curious community that listens to varied voices (Kizel et al., 2012). All in all, technology tends to play a big part in carving out a learning experience centered on asking questions and exploring together.

Assessment strategies for inquiry-based learning

Good assessments really drive inquiry-based learning (IBL) by setting up feedback loops that help both teachers and students see how things are unfolding. There's a mix of methods - like quick formative checks and even portfolio work - that don't just measure how much a student gets but also stimulate creative as well as critical thinking. For example, e-portfolios let learners reflect on their own learning journeys while showing off how their skills have grown, which makes the whole process feel personal. Sometimes, when technology isn't fully available, educators end up relying on printed portfolios to keep everyone included (J Kihwele et al., 2024). Blending IBL with hands-on task-based approaches often creates a structured challenge that encourages students to explore and solve problems on their own (Meng Z et al., 2024). In the end, these strategies do more than point out where students may be struggling - they help build an adaptive, responsive educational vibe that meets real needs.

Challenges and barriers to implementation

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Implementing Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) in contemporary classrooms remains a significant challenge due to entrenched pedagogical practices that emphasize rote memorization over critical thinking and student exploration. Alverson et al. (2019) highlight how instructional approaches can differ substantially between junior high and middle school settings, often complicating the adoption of innovative, interactive strategies necessary to support IBL. Furthermore, the integration of emerging technologies such as Generative Artificial Intelligence (GAI) presents both opportunities and obstacles. While GAI holds the potential to personalize learning experiences and enhance student engagement, it also raises concerns related to ethical use, equity in resource distribution, and systemic readiness. As Hutson et al. (2024) note, the benefits of GAI are unlikely to be realized without robust policy frameworks and comprehensive faculty training. Addressing these multifaceted challenges is essential for unlocking the transformative potential of IBL and ensuring its meaningful implementation in modern educational contexts.

5. Case Studies and Practical Applications

Case studies and hands-on examples really boost inquiry-based learning by linking theory to real-life situations that students can actually relate to. It not only deepens their grasp on the subject but also enhances their ability to think critically and solve problems – skills that matter a lot these days. Teachers dig into specific cases to show how different teaching approaches, like mixing tech with interactive sessions, can really work; this idea is hinted at in broader educational guidelines (Anthony G Picciano, 2021). Looking at geography through a sustainability lens also underscores that fresh, inventive teaching methods might just be the secret sauce to achieving real learning goals. Research generally points out that combining inquiry with interactive group work ramps up engagement and helps students stick with complex ideas, especially in geography and its ties to sustainable development (Yli E-Panula et al., 2019). In the end, these approaches let students put what they've learned to work in meaningful ways, reinforcing the very heart of inquiry-based education.

Examples of inquiry-based learning in various disciplines

Inquiry-based learning shows up in various academic disciplines and really pushes students to think deeply and solve problems - skills that matter a lot nowadays. Take medical education; in some biochemistry lab courses, for example, teachers tend to use the Socratic method. They ask a bunch of questions that nudge students into really grappling with the material, which usually ends up enhanceing both their clarity and logic in reasoning (Yueh-Ho R et al., 2023). Then, switching gears to early childhood education, programs like Philosophy for Children (P4C) bring a taste of philosophical inquiry into kindergarten classrooms. In a quasi-experimental study, students involved in the P4C setup made notable leaps in their critical thinking compared to a group that didn't go through the same process, , which shows the method's promise even when youngsters are just starting out (Sema Işıklar et al., 2022). All in all, these mixed examples underscore just how flexible - and effective - inquiry-based learning can be across different educational scenes.

Success stories from educational institutions

Many schools these days are really trying out inquiry-based learning, and overall, the results have been pretty intriguing. Some innovative schools, for instance, report that students do better on a range of tests – they often say it's because the lessons focus more on asking questions and exploring ideas instead of just memorizing facts. Looking back, classroom practices have shifted away from the old rigid routines toward more hands-on, interactive

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

activities; group projects and even casual, in-depth discussions now let students really dig into the subject matter (Gregory et al., 2018). In most cases, even if you pause to consider, thinkers like Dewey once pushed for education that feels more like an open, participatory experience, and current examples seem to back that up as students begin to take charge of how they learn (Nevin et al., 2021). All in all, these stories suggest that inquiry-based learning can be quite a game changer – building knowledge and setting up learners for a lifetime of discovery.

Role of teachers in facilitating inquiry

In inquiry-based learning, teachers take on the role of guides who push students to explore deeply exploration and think on their feet. This method, reminiscent of the old Socratic way, relies on open dialogue and probing questions that pull students into deeper learning. Good teachers carve out spaces where it's totally fine to ask questions, toss around ideas, and hunt for answers - slowly building a vibe of genuine curiosity. As (Griffin et al., 2014) suggests, creative teaching techniques generally set all students - especially those in STEM - on a path not just to absorb information but also to create new ideas. Also, ongoing professional development is pretty essential; research shows that continually enhanceing teaching methods can really boost a teacher's knack for mixing in different inquiry-based tricks (Alikor et al., 2024). When you really think about it, teachers aren't just passing on facts - they're stimulateing a lasting curiosity that stretches far beyond the classroom.

Student engagement and motivation through inquiry

Student engagement is a critical factor in effective learning, particularly when facilitated through inquiry-based strategies such as Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL). Rather than relying on traditional, teacher-centered methods of information delivery, IBL encourages learners to explore, pose questions, and construct their own understanding—an approach that has been shown to enhance critical thinking and stimulate creativity (Sam, 2024). Beyond fostering deeper comprehension of subject matter, IBL also promotes learner autonomy, which in turn strengthens intrinsic motivation. Systematic reviews suggest that combining hands-on experiences with digital tools further increases engagement, particularly when students are able to contextualize their learning within real-world scenarios (Stenseth et al., 2025). Additionally, blended learning models—integrating live instruction with independent study—offer varied learning modalities that sustain student interest and enthusiasm. Overall, inquiry-based methods empower educators to cultivate active, self-directed learners, thereby laying the foundation for academic achievement and a lifelong passion for learning.

Long-term outcomes of inquiry-based learning

Inquiry-based learning delivers a mix of long-lasting benefits that shape how students think and get involved across a bunch of areas. It builds up key abilities - critical thinking, creativity, and solving problems - that push learners to take charge of their own learning journey, which generally stimulates extra academic curiosity and an inner drive. This teaching style fits in pretty naturally with today's trend for more personalized, hands-on education; indeed, even the discussion surrounding Generative Artificial Intelligence (GAI) (Hutson et al., 2024) seems to back it up. Creating classrooms where every viewpoint feels welcome is super important, and blending in a variety of supportive strategies (as raised in conversations about safe spaces in the classroom (Sackris et al., 2017) really helps with that. In the end, when you nurture these skills and build a true sense of belonging, inquiry-based learning gets students ready not just for academic success but also for playing a meaningful role in an increasingly complex world.

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

6. Conclusion

Inquiry-based learning has come a long way - it started from old philosophical musings and now shapes real classroom experiences that boost critical thinking and help folks adapt across many areas. Technology mixes with simulations nowadays in ways that transform old formats and stimulate more immersive, hands-on lessons which, in many cases, better fit modern educational needs. You can see this shift in the way diverse tech tools are now used to enhance students' thinking, with nursing education being a prime example (Stenseth HV et al., 2025). Competency-based models that draw from a community of inquiry seem to suggest that a blend of structure and a bit of freedom usually works best, keeping teaching relevant and tuned to individual needs. Overall, tweaking inquiry-based methods constantly is pretty crucial if we want learners to be ready for a world that's only getting more complicated over time.

Inquiry-based learning has shifted over time in ways that mix classic ideas with everyday teaching. Socrates kicked things off with his back-and-forth method, and modern thinkers have built on that foundation in surprising ways. You often hear that Vygotsky zeroes in on social bonds in learning, while Bakhtin leans into the power of dialogue - each offering its own twist on building knowledge in our classrooms (White et al., 2011). Sometimes, there's a push-and-pull between sticking to old methods and moving toward a more inclusve, open curriculum, a tension that recent critiques of teaching have pointed out (Herrán Gascón et al., 2022). In most cases, inquiry-based learning turns into more than just a method; it becomes a lively space where educators and students alike can rethink old practices, stimulate critical thought, and get involved in collaborative inquiry. All in all, mixing these ideas lights the way toward a more flexible and all-around approach to education - one that really welcomes complexity and the many unique perspectives learners bring.

Inquiry-based learning has come a long way from the old days of straightforward Q&A to a blend that mixes classic questioning with today's digital flair. It all began with those traditional Socratic methods—sharp questions fired off one after another - and now teachers are bringing in modern tools that let students explore on their own or in groups. Educators increasingly feel that learning isn't just about passing facts around; it's more of a lively process that fires up both creative thinking and practical problem-solving. High-speed internet and almost everywhere-access to info have really turned the tables on old-school teaching. Often, when folks talk about it, they mention that education should be as much about fairness and personal freedom as it is about textbooks (Gourlay L et al., 2021). In most cases, preparing students for today's challenges means striking a balance - grounding them in essential knowledge while also stirring up sharp critical thinking and teamwork skills (Qadir J et al., 2020). All in all, this mix of time-tested ideas and modern tech keeps education evolving in surprising, unpredictable ways - even if it sometimes doesn't follow a neat, linear path.

Education is always shifting, and these days, inquiry-based learning calls for a real mix of ideas from different fields. We no longer just stick to old routines; instead, we pull together ways that tap into both how we think and how we experience our world. Lately, there's been a noticeable drop in humanities coupled with a boom in STEM studies, which means that stimulateing genuine critical thinking has to bridge across subjects. In most cases, it's about teaching the basics while also helping students build media smarts in our super digital age (Griffin et al., 2014). At the same time, educators keep bumping into old myths that muddle a student's grasp of science. When teachers lean into a Socratic method – asking the probing, sometimes unexpected questions that get you really thinking – it opens up a way for learners

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

to see how different scientific ideas connect, even as they wrestle with long-held misconceptions (Rene et al., 2007). All in all, blending these fresh tactics with a nod to classic questioning could really ramp up inquiry-based learning and get students ready for the complex and multifaceted complexity of modern life.

Encouraging curiosity in classrooms really matters - it builds students' critical thinking and pulls them right into what they're learning. When students get a chance to question, explore and even analyze ideas on the fly, they end up developing the reasoning skills that teachers have valued, both back then and nowadays. One study (Mogea T, 2023) with first-year students generally showed that discussing topics like purpose and hidden assumptions during class sessions helped boost their critical thinking. In most cases, nurturing a questioning mindset not only enhances mental abilities but also builds a sense of identity and responsibility – especially when it comes to environmental issues. Some educators now favor a community of inquiry approach, a term that pops up a lot in modern talks, which helps students connect in a more natural way with their local surroundings through place-based education (Thornton S et al., 2021). All in all, inquiry lays down the groundwork for learning experiences that truly empower students to question what they see - and even try to reshape it.

In today's classrooms, Socratic questioning still hits home by pushing discussion and creative thinking instead of simply rote memorization. This old-school method, which gets its start from the way Socrates once challenged ideas, invites learners into genuine back-and-forth that open up a deeper look at subjects - not just one area, but a mix of them. There's even research supporting its value; one study found a notable tie between this approach and improvements in logical reasoning on syllogistic tasks (Grondin et al., 2018), which generally shows how effective it can be. Some folks argue that stimulateing these kinds of reflective chats early on - maybe even in elementary classes - could really boost a student's overall learning journey. When you put the ideas of ancient thinkers like Confucius and Socrates side by side, you start to see how old philosophies can gently shape modern teaching methods, offering a broader take on the educational role (Nguyen et al., 2022). In the end, that enduring habit of questioning like Socrates remains a key part of teaching, guiding educators as they work to build naturally curious minds.

Originality & Body of Knowledge

Originality: This paper offers an original synthesis by tracing the evolution of inquiry-based learning from its ancient Socratic roots to modern scientific inquiry. It uniquely bridges classical philosophical methods with contemporary pedagogical frameworks, demonstrating how traditional dialogic approaches can be adapted to today's technology-enhanced educational settings. The work not only reexamines the historical underpinnings of inquiry-based learning but also innovatively integrates constructivist and experiential theories with emerging digital tools and collaborative practices. In doing so, it provides fresh insights into how educators can foster deeper student engagement and critical thinking in an era marked by rapid technological and social change.

Body of Knowledge: The paper significantly enriches the body of knowledge on educational innovation by articulating a comprehensive framework for inquiry-based learning that spans historical, theoretical, and practical dimensions. It delineates the progression from Socratic questioning to the application of scientific inquiry in modern classrooms, highlighting how these methodologies can be harmonized with current technological advancements such as TPACK and virtual learning environments. By critically examining case studies and empirical research, the study underscores the

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

transformative impact of inquiry-based approaches on student motivation, engagement, and long-term academic achievement, thereby offering valuable guidance for curriculum design, teacher training, and assessment practices in contemporary education.

Funding: This study did not receive financial support from any public or private agencies or organizations.

Declarations

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical treatment of experimental subjects (animals & human): The research was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration regarding human subjects, so formal ethical approval was not required.

Open Access: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which allows for use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as proper credit is given to the original authors and source, a link to the Creative Commons license is provided, and any modifications are clearly indicated. Any third-party material included in this article is covered by the same Creative Commons license unless otherwise credited. If third-party material is not covered by the license and statutory regulations do not permit its use, permission must be obtained directly from the copyright holder. To access the license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Alikor, O., Orlunga, B. G., & Wosu, U. N. (2024). Assessing the influence of continuous professional development programmes on the teaching methodologies of history teachers in senior secondary schools in Rivers State, Nigeria. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/622348234.pdf

Alverson, R., Cook, C., DiCicco, M., Faulkner, et al. (2019). The status of middle schools in the southeastern United States: Perceptions and implementation of the middle school model. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/232183000.pdf

Azevedo, M., Baptista, M., Carvalho, C., Freire, et al. (2008). Changing practices, changing identities: A study with students at risk of educational exclusion. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/12425107.pdf

Bautista, L. B. (2014). The Socratic method as a pedagogical method in legal education. Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f52b9774e81237df3557f7f8fd268c3f5d605188

Cal, A. M. (2017). Innovative pedagogy: What are the best practices of professors in STEM, leadership, or professional programs who integrate literature? Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/288854282.pdf

Clark, W., Fuller, S., Hanson, N. R., et al. (2009). The genealogy of judgment: Towards a deep history of academic freedom. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/47411.pdf

Conrad, D., & Openo, J. (2018). *Assessment strategies for online learning: Engagement and authenticity.* https://doi.org/10.15215/aupress/9781771992329.01

Darling-Hammond, L. (2016). Research on teaching and teacher education and its influences on policy and practice. *Educational Researcher, 45*, 83-91. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x16639597

Efstratia, D. (2014). Experiential education through project-based learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 152*, 1256-1260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.09.362

Ezquerra, Á., Agen, F., Rodríguez-Arteche, I., & Ezquerra-Romano, I. (2022). Integrating artificial intelligence into research on emotions and behaviors in science education. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education.* https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/38be4988ad0d5d515b5bb3f165deb77c2ed8afc7

Gourlay, L., Rodríguez Illera, J. L., Barberà, E., Bali, M., Gachago, D., Pallitt, N., Jones, C., et al. (2021). Networked learning in 2021: A community definition. *Postdigital Science and Education, 3*, 326-369. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00222-y

Gregory, C. G. (2018). Unearthing the tubers and shoots of thought, talk, and praxis: A historiography of classroom discourse in theory and practice. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/161459299.pdf

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Griffin, S., & Hoecherl-Alden, G. (2014). Media literacy at all levels: Making the humanities more inclusive. Retrieved from https://open.bu.edu/bitstream/2144/39292/1/nectflreviewmedialiteracy.pdf

Grondin, A. J. (2018). Effectiveness of the Socratic method: A comparative analysis of the historical and modern invocations of an educational method. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/217693059.pdf

Herrán Gascón, A. de la, & Rodríguez Herrero, P. (2022). The radical inclusive curriculum: Contributions toward a theory of complete education. Retrieved from https://repositorio.uam.es/bitstream/10486/705609/1/radical_herran_asia_pacific_educ_rev_2022.pdf

Ho, J. A. (2017). *Law as instrumentality.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/148696844.pdf

Ho, Y.-R., Chen, B.-Y., & Li, C.-M. (2023). Thinking more wisely: Using the Socratic method to develop critical thinking skills amongst healthcare students. *BMC Medical Education, 23.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04134-2

Hutson, J., McMaken, W. T., & Vosevich, K. (2024). *From codex to code: Pedagogical transformations in the age of technological innovation.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/643573896.pdf

Işıklar, S., & Abalı Öztürk, Y. (2022). The effect of Philosophy for Children (P4C) curriculum on critical thinking through philosophical inquiry and problem-solving skills. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research, 9*(3), 130-142. https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.942575

Jedrzejczyk, M. A. (2022). *The lived experience of teachers' Socratic practice: A hermeneutic phenomenology.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/519866462.pdf

Khalid Khalaf, B. (2018). Traditional and inquiry-based learning pedagogy: A systematic critical review. *International Journal of Instruction, 11*, 545-564. https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11434a

Kihwele, J., Mwamakula, E. N., & Mtandi, R. (2024). Portfolio-based assessment feedback and development of pedagogical skills among instructors and pre-service teachers. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education.* https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/373fb446ffdd4503107a39bcaf114fba34078880

Kirby, C. (2014). *Dewey and "the Greeks": Inquiry and the organic spirit of Greek philosophy.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/286357511.pdf

Kizel, A. (2012). Cultivating creativity and self-reflective thinking through dialogic teacher education. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/131202365.pdf

Kuhn, D. (2019). Critical thinking as discourse. *Human Development, 62*, 146-164. https://doi.org/10.1159/000500171

Magnat, V. (2019). *The performative power of vocality.* Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429340338

Magrini, J. (2011). *Working to recover the essence of education for the sake of teaching and teacher education: Towards a phenomenological understanding of the forgotten, ontological aspects of learning.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/10676654.pdf

Mogea, T. (2023). Students' critical thinking ability in English teaching and learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Sastra Inggris, 2*(3), 157-171. https://doi.org/10.55606/jupensi.v2i3.977

Nevin, S. A. (2021). *The spirit of education: Politics and pedagogy in Plato, Rousseau, Dewey, and Freire.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/534805858.pdf

Nguyen, Q. (2022). *What does it mean to be a teacher?: How Confucius and Socrates facilitate contemporary classroom discourse.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/513486958.pdf

Picciano, A. G. (2021). *Theories and frameworks for online education.* BRILL eBooks. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004471382_005

Polka, C. A. (2022). *Principals' definition and identification of critical thinking in teacher practices.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/543566472.pdf

Qadir, J., Yau, K.-L. A., Imran, M. A., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2020). Engineering education, moving into 2020s: Essential competencies for effective 21st-century electrical & computer engineers. *2021 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE).* https://doi.org/10.1109/fie44824.2020.9274067

Ramaila, S. (2024). Systematic review of inquiry-based learning: Assessing impact and best practices in education. *F1000Research.* https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/301e1fb1f82a10e79ec3afa7b7060fa8a11a3680

Rene, J. (2007). *Rationale and provisional lesson plans for learning high school science through discovering dialectically and creatively.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/229324336.pdf

Sackris, D. (2017). *Considering the classroom as a safe space.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/186330079.pdf

Schow, H. (2022). *Improving disciplinary literacy in the science classroom with scaffolding.* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/519916296.pdf

Insight into Modern Education
ISSN: 3057-0050 (Online), Vol 4 No 1 (January-April, 2026)

Sellars, M., Fakirmohammad, R., Linh, B. T. N., Fishetti, J., Niyozov, S., Reynolds, R., Thapliyal, N., et al. (2018). Conversations on critical thinking: Can critical thinking find its way forward as the skill set and mindset of the century? *Education Sciences, 8*, 205-205. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci8040205

Škoda, D., Doulík, P., & Procházková, Z. (2013). Inquiry-based science education – Fashionable trend or hope for science education regeneration? *Technology of Education, 21*, 3-6. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8d8df68629dff666153afa65bde25145cc1be452

Stenseth, H. V., Steindal, S., Solberg, M., Ølnes, M. A., Sørensen, A. L., Strandell-Laine, C., Olaussen, C., et al. (2025). Simulation-based learning supported by technology to enhance critical thinking in nursing students: Scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 27*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/50c7b5f53122f3225c023f85066bc022d24f8ca5

Teknowijoyo, F., Gunarhadi, Budiyono, & Sukarmin (2024). Exploring the role of TPACK in promoting inquiry-based learning in 21. *KnE Social Sciences.* https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/cf23da9bc849b9536b157ece6465763a5bb5e4fd

Thornton, S., Graham, M., & Burgh, G. (2021). Place-based philosophical education: Reconstructing 'place', reconstructing ethics. *Childhood & Philosophy, 17*, 01-29. https://doi.org/10.12957/childphilo.2021.54696

White, E. J. (2011). *Bakhtinian dialogic and Vygotskian dialectic: Compatibilities and contradictions in the classroom?* Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/29199829.pdf

Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., & Lemmetty, P. (2019). Teaching and learning methods in geography promoting sustainability. *Education Sciences, 10*, 5. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10010005

Zhang, M., Attapaiboon, T., & Jantharajit, N. (2024). Developing the abilities of music students: A blended teaching approach based on inquiry-based and task-based learning. *Open Journal for Educational Research.* Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f06daa3a5c95ed36c800c63f38a59acfabe44fc3